

<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Key Points</b>	<b>Outcomes, Next Steps, Assignments</b>
<b>1. Call to Order</b>	<p><b>Members Present:</b> Dave Newman, Committee Chair; Bev Dittmar, Chair; Dale Mayo, Vice-Chair; Gary Hilgendorf, Bob Lang, Jeff Schwaller</p> <p><b>Absent:</b> Lee Van Zeeland</p> <p><b>Others Present:</b> Cathy Burrow, Ann Loechler, Jillian Steffes, Faith Murray, Ed Slaminski, Kurt Byfield - DNR, other council members, public.</p>	Called to order at 3:02 p.m.
<b>2. Agenda Repair</b>	None	
<b>3. Acceptance of minutes of previous meeting</b>		Dale Mayo moved to accept the minutes of the previous meeting. Second by Jeff Schwaller. MOTION PASSED.
<b>4. Committee Chair Report</b>	Nothing specific, items to share are on agenda.	
<b>5. Joint Finance Committee Report update</b>	<p>Dave and Sam were in Madison about 3 weeks ago and met with Rep. Nygren and a couple of his aids.</p> <p>Some questions discussed: Most were regarding the new ranking tool. Went through the gateway questions. Explained our thoughts on definition of a “population center.” Dave and Sam explained that a county would need to justify their reasoning and the council would consider on a case-by-case basis. Eventually the Council would put some parameters around the “population center” and “high level of use” based on applications.</p> <p>Rep. Nygren offered to help with legislation needed. They spoke of the 40” rule and the need to eliminate that problem.</p>	
<b>6. DNR Dealer Portal</b>	<p>Dave met with Kimberly Currie, Director of DNR’s Customer &amp; Outreach Services Bureau regarding a dealer portal. She provided a rough estimate of \$250-300K to implement. She said there were no other recreational vehicles asking for a dealer portal at this time, although they would likely use it if it was built. She indicated no willingness for DNR to share in the cost.</p> <p>Dave stated that AWSC did not have the money to fund this and it was not likely that the Council would recommend using snowmobile money to fund this project at that amount if it can’t be cost-shared with other programs and the</p>	

	<p>DNR. Council members agreed that such a recommendation would not happen.</p> <p>Dave went on to say, the biggest issue is the inability to obtain a club trail pass when a new sled is purchased because it takes several weeks to receive the registration decal.</p> <p>They discussed the possibility of creating a new form for dealers (not a generic registration form) with the \$10 check box. Some people may check that without actually being club members, but that would only work for one year.</p> <p>That registration form belongs to the Dept. of Revenue, so they would have to agree to change the form.</p> <p>Next steps: Dave is going to find out how many power sports dealers selling snowmobiles are in business in Wisconsin. This is needed because the DNR needs to know they can reach the dealers to distribute the new forms.</p> <p>Once Dave is able to obtain that information, the DNR will seek agreement from DOR to make changes to the form and may pursue creating a form specifically for Dealer registration rather than for all registrations.</p>	
<p><b>6. DNR Report</b></p>	<p>Trail Pass sales as of Feb. 1, 2019</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• \$30 passes: 48,827</li> <li>• \$10 passes: 57,519</li> <li>• Total: 106,346 Last year at this time: 123,680</li> </ul> <p>Grants awards since July 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trail Maintenance: \$5.8M, 73 grants</li> <li>• Supplemental Maintenance: \$2.1M, 29 recipients</li> <li>• Development grants: \$2M, 41 grants</li> </ul> <p>Funds remaining: \$950K Final Supplemental maintenance numbers were shared. The JFC Report &amp; final new mile ranking sheet were shared.</p>	
<p><b>5. Public Comment</b></p>	<p>Discussion regarding the length of time it takes to receive a trail pass after purchasing it.</p>	<p>When problems occur, the best thing to do is to report the specific person and purchase date so, AWSC/DNR can track the specific batch to see when the passes were mailed.</p>
<p><b>8. Member Items</b></p>	<p>Gary asked about allowing maintenance to fund the cost of GPS tracking units in groomers. A number of specific questions followed. Q: How do you deal with the loss of signal and how</p>	<p>Direction is to continue to gather information. This will be an agenda item at the next meeting.</p> <p>The initial reaction was in favor of allowing it as an</p>

	<p>often does it update?  A: It stores up to 25K points of data until a signal is reached again and then it uploads it.</p> <p>GTS has an app that the public can use to see which trails have been groomed. It has a 4-hour delay, so riders aren't chasing groomers. Club admin can see it in real time.</p> <p>There will be issues that will need to be resolved regarding up the trails initially to show funded, unfunded, routes and where all the trails are.</p> <p>Current costs: \$200 per device or lease for \$60/year. \$150/year for the data storage.</p>	<p>eligible expense under maintenance.</p>
<p><b>9. Adjourn</b></p>		<p>Dale moved to adjourn. Second by Gary.  <b>MOTION PASSED.</b></p>