

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE STORM DAMAGE REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	
#S1	Oconto	High winds and rain between 7/18/19 and 7/20/19 caused excessive tree damage. The preliminary cost estimate is primarily debris clearing and removal. Some trail rehab is anticipated. 50% requested from ATV council.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other	\$367,140	\$367,140 After Cost Share: \$183,570		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Amount:	<input type="checkbox"/> 183570		
		FEMA Eligible?	TBD	FEMA Applied For?	TBD	\$183,570	
#S2	La Crosse	Between the time frame of 8/17/18 and 9/14/2018, La Crosse Co experienced unusually severe storm activity resulting in rapidly moving flood water. The flooding water caused a number of washouts, loss of rip rap and mudslides on the trail in numerous locations.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other	\$11,995	\$11,995 After Cost Share: \$11,995		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	<input type="checkbox"/> No	Amount:	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		FEMA Eligible?	Denied	FEMA Applied For?	Yes	\$11,995	
#S3	Monroe	The week of August 28, 2018 the county received extensive amounts of rainfall that caused severe damage on the trails. They have repaired most of the damage and are looking for reimbursement.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other	\$28,147	\$28,147 After Cost Share: \$28,147		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	<input type="checkbox"/> No	Amount:	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		FEMA Eligible?	Denied	FEMA Applied For?	Yes	\$28,147	
#S4	Pierce	Due to rain event on April 17, 2019, the snowmobile bridge over the Big River is no longer in place. The trail is gone as well.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other	\$128,500 \$3,000	\$131,500 After Cost Share: \$131,500		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	<input type="checkbox"/> No	Amount:	<input type="checkbox"/>		
		FEMA Eligible?	No	FEMA Applied For?	No	\$131,500	

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE STORM DAMAGE REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved
#S5	Langlade	Langlade County was impacted by a high wind storm on July 19, 2019. Hundreds of miles of snowmobile trail were impacted, and the State of WI declared a state of emergency. This is a preliminary estimate of the cost to clear the trails, Funds are also being requested of the ORV council.	Bridges	\$329,150	\$329,150	
			Culverts		After Cost Share:	
			Equip & Labor		\$329,150	
			Other			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
Yes or No:		Yes	Amount:			
FEMA Eligible?		TBD	FEMA Applied For?		TBD	
					\$329,150	
#S6	Price	Price County proposes to repair a bridge over Beaver Creek that was washed out during storms on May 9th and May 19th. Scope of work includes adding fill to the approaches and leveling the deck.	Bridges		\$4,940	
			Culverts		After Cost Share:	
			Equip & Labor		\$2,020	
			Materials		\$2,920	
Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
Yes or No:		No	Amount:			
FEMA Eligible?			FEMA Applied For?			
					\$4,940	
			Bridges		\$0	
			Culverts		After Cost Share:	
			Equip & Labor		\$0	
			Other			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
Yes or No:		No	Amount:			
FEMA Eligible?			FEMA Applied For?			
					\$0	
TOTAL					\$689,302	\$0

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE COST INCREASE REQUESTS

Region	County		New/Increase Component	New Costs	Total	Approved
#A1	Florence	Florence County has received three bids for this bridge replacement project, ranging from \$265,000 to \$580,000. This is higher than originally anticipated. The county is seeking an additional \$81,610 to cover the lowest bid.	Bridge	\$81,610	\$81,610	
		After Cost Share: \$81,610				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Amount: <input type="text"/>				
		Original Grant # S-4898 Funding Category Bridge Rehab				
		Previous Grant Amt \$204,390 New Grant Amt (After) \$286,000				
TOTAL					\$81,610	\$0

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#1	NOR Douglas	Douglas County proposes to replace a broken bridge with a 60 inch by 24 foot culvert. The project will include removal of old bridge and installation of culvert. The project is a 50/50 split with ATV. Trail 24 Bridge Replacement.	*Structure		\$7,007		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$3,503		
			Site prep	\$2,077			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts	\$2,930			
			Riprap	\$500			
			Labor	\$1,500			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$3,503		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	yes	Amount:	3504		
		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	13		
		Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 12x24	New Bridge Size: 5x24 culvert			
			Water Body: Trib Popular R	Labor Source:			
			Years in System: 25	Design Weight Load: 25,000			
#2	NOR Polk	Polk County proposes to rehab the Cattail Trail Head Bridge near Amery. The project will consist of redecking, runners, and some railing as necessary. Project will be split 50/50 with ATV program. Cattail Trail Head Bridge Rehab	*Structure		\$62,596		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$26,298		
			Site prep	\$26,629			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$20,700			
			Equip Rental	\$5,267			
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$36,298		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	yes	Amount:	36298		
		Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:	1		
		Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 16x140	New Bridge Size:			
			Water Body: Apple River	Labor Source: contract			
			Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 14,000			
#3	NOR Rusk	Rusk County proposes to replace the Trail 27 Bridge with a large arch culvert, 112"x75"x30'. The project will require a wetland delineation and H&H analysis. Trail 27 Bridge Replacement	*Structure		\$22,200		Q - Please meet with water regs. Why wetland delineation and H&H analysis? Feasible to put in culvert?
			Engineer	\$5,000	After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$22,200		
			Site prep	\$7,000			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts	\$5,200			
			Riprap				
			Labor				
			Equip Rental				
			wetland delin.	\$5,000			
			# of New Mi	0	\$22,200		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	17		
		Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 12x24	New Bridge Size: 112"x75"x30'			
			Water Body: Twin Creek	Labor Source: contract			
			Years in System: 21	Design Weight Load: 14000?			

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#4	NOR Washburn	Washburn County proposes to rehab the Hay Creek Bridge. The project will consist of total replacement of bridge deck and wear layer. Also applied for ATV and RTP (tentatively approved at 50%). Hay Creek Bridge Rehab.	*Structure		\$61,268		Q - Does Washburn Co insure their bridges?
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$30,634		
			Site prep	\$53,768			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$7,500			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$30,634		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	yes	Amount:	30634		
		Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:	1311		
		Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 12x178	New Bridge Size:			
			Water Body: Hay Creek	Labor Source: force/club			
			Years in System: 17	Design Weight Load: 12,000			
#5	WC Chippewa	Chippewa Co proposes to rehabilitate a 12' x 45' steel truss bridge that was originally built and installed in 1996 - \$18,000 S-1866. The decking needs replacement and there is less than 24" of clearance b/w the bottom of the bridge and the baseflow elevation of the stream. The bridge will be refurbished to replace decking, add adequate ramps, reset to increase clearance and increase weight capacity.	*Structure	\$54,000	\$67,900		Q - Need 2 quotes. Why isn't the quote for 25,000 lbs? Is portage an option, to negate raising the bridge?
			Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:		
			Permits	\$900	\$67,900		
			Site prep	\$1,000			
			Materials				
			Abutments	\$2,000			
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$2,000			
			Labor	\$5,000			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$67,900		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
		Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Private	Bridge Inventory #:			
			Old Bridge Size: 12' x 45'	New Bridge Size: 12' x 45'			
			Water Body: Cedar Creek	Labor Source:			
			Years in System: 23+	Design Weight Load: 25,000			
#6	WC Chippewa	Chippewa Co proposes to rehabilitate a 12' X 40' steel bridge on Cor. 27. by installing riprap to stabilize upstream banks and protect bridge pilings. Investment in riprap at this time will extend the use of the current structure. The club will do site preparation and miscellaneous labor.	*Structure		\$12,782		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$12,782		
			Site prep	\$1,500			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$6,782			
			Labor	\$4,500			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$12,782		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
		Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Private	Bridge Inventory #:	18		
			Old Bridge Size: 12' x 40'	New Bridge Size:			
			Water Body: Otter Creek	Labor Source:			
			Years in System: 29	Design Weight Load: 12,000			

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	
#7	WC	Chippewa	Chippewa Co proposes to rehabilitate a 12' x 30' steel truss bridge originally built and installed in 1995 - \$7,400 S-1724. The bridge was removed from Cor. 33 when the trail was rerouted. Proposal refurbish the bridge by original manufacturer, (Anderson) reinforce to provide a 14,000 lb cap. and installed on the Boyd Cut Across Trail which currently has an at grade stream crossing. Bridge to be set on steel pilings protected by riprap.	*Structure	\$20,700	\$21,900 After Cost Share: \$21,900		Q - Need a 2nd quote. Why is the quote 14,000 lb if upgrading to 25,000 lb?
				Engineer				
				Permits	\$1,200			
				Site prep				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?								
Yes or No: No				Amount:				
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #: 3	Labor				
		Old Bridge Size: 12' x 30'	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental				
		Water Body: Yellow Riv Trib	Labor Source:	Other				
		Years in System: 24	Design Weight Load: 14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$21,900		
#8	WC	Dunn	Dunn County proposes to replace a deteriorated bridge trailer frame bridge over Otter Creek on Corridor 64.	*Structure	\$33,400	\$43,900 After Cost Share: \$43,900		Q - Require bridge to be anchored?
				Engineer				
				Permits				
				Site prep	\$9,000			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?								
Yes or No: No				Amount:				
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: Private	Bridge Inventory #: Dunn 14	Labor				
		Old Bridge Size: 12'x30'	New Bridge Size: 12'X40' w/appr	Equip Rental	\$1,500			
		Water Body: Otter Creek	Labor Source:	Other				
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$43,900		
#9	WC	Jackson	Jackson Co proposes to contract new deck installation on the Glen Creek Bridge. The project will be a requested split with ATV.	*Structure		\$2,200 After Cost Share: \$1,100		Q - Why is this not being taken care of under maintenance?
				Engineer				
				Permits				
				Site prep	\$2,200			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?								
Yes or No: yes				Amount:	1100			
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: public	Bridge Inventory #: JC30028	Labor				
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental				
		Water Body: Glenn Creek	Labor Source:	Other				
		Years in System: 19	Design Weight Load: 12,000	# of New Mi	0	\$1,100		

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#10	WC	Jackson	Jackson Co proposes to contract new deck and railing installation on the Indian Grave Creek Bridge. The project will be a requested split with ATV.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$4,068	\$4,068 After Cost Share: \$2,034	Q - Why is this not being taken care of under maintenance? What was the source of the 2011 funds?
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	2034	
	Public or Private:		public	Bridge Inventory #:	JC30023		
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	Indian Creek	New Bridge Size:			
		Water Body:	Indian Creek	Labor Source:			
		Years in System:	19	Design Weight Load:	12,000		
				# of New Mi	0	\$2,034	
#11	WC	Juneau	Juneau Co proposes to repair Hillsboro Trail Bridge #3 due to severe damage to the abutments during the 2018 flooding event.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$9,200	\$9,200 After Cost Share: \$9,200	Q - Need 2 quotes. What is the weight of the equipment? Need map showing bridge location.
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		
	Public or Private:		public	Bridge Inventory #:			
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 75'	New Bridge Size:			
		Water Body:	W Br Baraboo	Labor Source:			
		Years in System:	7	Design Weight Load:	12,000		
				# of New Mi	0	\$9,200	
#12	WC	La Crosse	La Crosse Co proposes to replace the Coon Creek Bridge which was lost in the severe flood event of 2018.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$79,000 \$5,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$6,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$2,000 \$5,000 \$9,000	\$115,000 After Cost Share: \$115,000	Q - What other uses? What's the history of the bridge that was lost? Does the trail dead end? Need better maps.
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		
	Public or Private:		private	Bridge Inventory #:			
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 40'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 80'		
		Water Body:	Coon Creek	Labor Source:			
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:			
				# of New Mi	0	\$115,000	

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#13	WC	La Crosse	La Crosse Co is proposing to raise the existing bridge over Dutch Creek for better clearance in flood events, redeck the bridge and also install riprap to protect the bank. Groomer does not cross this bridge. Storm damaged last summer. Option 1		*Structure	\$16,000	Q - Is this upstream or downstream from highway bridge? Why 2 feet higher? Has an engineer confirmed 2 feet higher will be enough?
				Engineer	After Cost Share:		
				Permits	\$16,000		
				Site prep	\$1,800		
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers	\$7,000			
			Approaches	\$3,000			
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$2,400			
			Labor				
			Equip Rental	\$1,000			
			Other	\$800			
			# of New Mi	0	\$16,000		
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?				
			Yes or No:	No	Amount:		
			Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	LC01	
			Old Bridge Size:	8'6" x 47.4"	New Bridge Size:		
			Water Body:	Bangor Creek	Labor Source:		
			Years in System:	Rehab 2015	Design Weight Load:		
#14	WC	La Crosse	La Crosse County proposes to replace a club built bridge over Dutch Creek . It was rehabbed in 2015, the club paid for this. This would be Option 2 to replace the bridge and re-home the old one.		*Structure	\$36,000	Q - See above
				Engineer	\$2,000	After Cost Share:	
				Permits	\$1,500	\$53,300	
				Site prep	\$2,000		
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches	\$5,000			
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$6,000			
			Equip Rental				
			Other	\$800			
			# of New Mi	0	\$53,300		
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?				
			Yes or No:	No	Amount:		
			Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	LC01	
			Old Bridge Size:	8'6" x 47.4"	New Bridge Size:	12' X 50'	
			Water Body:	Bangor Creek	Labor Source:		
			Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	25,000	
#15	WC	La Crosse	La Crosse County proposes to replace an older bridge over Fleming Creek. The bridge has deteriorated and safety is a concern.		*Structure	\$54,000	Comment - Pictures don't show sufficient deterioration
				Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:	
				Permits	\$2,000	\$98,000	
				Site prep	\$1,000		
			Materials				
			Abutments	\$12,000			
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches	\$5,000			
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$2,000			
			Labor	\$5,000			
			Equip Rental	\$5,000			
			Other	\$9,000			
			# of New Mi	0	\$98,000		
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?				
			Yes or No:	No	Amount:		
			Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	LC07	
			Old Bridge Size:	10' x 56'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 64'	
			Water Body:	Fleming Creek	Labor Source:		
			Years in System:	20	Design Weight Load:	25,000	

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	
#16	WC	Vernon	Vernon Co proposes to replace the Anderson/Knapp bridge that was damaged by the August 27, 2018 flood. The bridge is not salvageable.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$31,000 \$8,200 \$1,500 \$900	\$41,600 After Cost Share: \$41,600		Q - Better Maps?
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
	Public or Private: private		Bridge Inventory #: VN33					
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 36'	New Bridge Size:					
	Water Body: Knapp Valley	Labor Source:						
	Years in System: 29	Design Weight Load: 25,000		# of New Mi	0	\$41,600		
#17	WC	Vernon	Vernon Co proposes to replace the Nottestad Bridge that was displaced by the August 27, 2018 flood. The bridge is now not wide enough for the new channel created.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$54,000 \$3,000 \$1,000 \$12,000 \$2,000 \$5,000 \$5,000	\$82,000 After Cost Share: \$82,000		Q - Pix required. More detailed quotes.
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
	Public or Private: private		Bridge Inventory #: VN35					
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 40'	New Bridge Size:					
	Water Body: West Fork	Labor Source:						
	Years in System: 29	Design Weight Load: 25,000		# of New Mi	0	\$82,000		
#18	SCR	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace the Little Sugar River bridge on corridor 21 which was damaged due to 2 recent major flooding events which widened the river significantly. Bridge was washed off it's footings during both events. <i>Costs based on single quote only.</i>	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$56,000 \$10,000 \$2,000 \$1,200	\$69,200 After Cost Share: \$69,200		Q - Need 2nd quote, trail map. Is the trail shared with bicycles? A - County contacted multiple contractors for a second quote but none were submitted.
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
	Public or Private: private		Bridge Inventory #: 16					
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 11x37	New Bridge Size: 12x54					
	Water Body: Little Sugar	Labor Source:						
	Years in System: 20	Design Weight Load: 25,000		# of New Mi	0	\$69,200		

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#19	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace the Dahlk Mt. Vernon Creek bridge on corridor 21 which was damaged due to 2 recent major flooding events which are washing out footings that cannot be repaired due to age and construction. Replacement of this bridge will improved waterflow preventing likely future bridge damage. <i>Costs based on single quote only.</i>	*Structure	\$76,000	\$91,200 After Cost Share: \$91,200		Q - Need second quote, trail map. A - County contacted multiple contractors for a second quote but none were submitted.
		Engineer					
		Permits					
		Site prep	\$11,000				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private:		Private	Bridge Inventory #:	9			
Old Bridge Size:		12.5x24	New Bridge Size:	12x50			
Water Body:		Mt. Vernon	Labor Source:		\$3,000		
Years in System:		20	Design Weight Load:	25,000	\$1,200		
Variance or Exception?			# of New Mi	0	\$91,200		
#20	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace the Streiff Spring Valley Creek bridge on corridor 21 which was damaged due to a recent major flooding event which compromised the stream banks at the site. The structure and footings have deteriorated to the point where it no longer supports snowmobile use. The replacement structure will be higher and longer, thus preventing likely future bridge damage from flooding. <i>Costs based on single quote only.</i>	*Structure	\$41,400	\$51,600 After Cost Share: \$51,600		Q - Need second quote, trail map. A - County contacted multiple contractors for a second quote but none were submitted.
		Engineer					
		Permits					
		Site prep	\$6,000				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private:		Private	Bridge Inventory #:	14			
Old Bridge Size:		12.5x25	New Bridge Size:	12x40	\$2,000		
Water Body:		Streiff Spring	Labor Source:		\$2,200		
Years in System:		20	Design Weight Load:	25,000	\$2,200		
Variance or Exception?			# of New Mi	0	\$51,600		
#21	Columbia	Columbia County proposes to replace the deteriorated bridge over the Rocky Run Creek on trail 15	*Structure	\$49,000	\$77,000 After Cost Share: \$77,000		Q - Need trail map. What is the actual bridge length being replaced? Was RTP applied for? Why is it being replaced, can it stand another season and apply for RTP next year?
		Engineer	\$3,000				
		Permits	\$1,000				
		Site prep	\$12,000				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private:		Public	Bridge Inventory #:	18			
Old Bridge Size:		12x34	New Bridge Size:	12x60	\$2,000		
Water Body:		Rocky Run	Labor Source:		\$5,000		
Years in System:		20	Design Weight Load:	25,000	\$5,000		
Variance or Exception?			# of New Mi	0	\$77,000		

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#22	Taylor	Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the Pleasant Creek Bridge on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County is tentatively approved for RTP program funding (50%), and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure		\$13,062		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$3,266		
			Site prep	\$7,812			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$5,250			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$3,266		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	TBD	Amount:	\$9,796.50		
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	PL 1		
		Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 42' x 10.8'	New Bridge Size:	42' x 11'		
			Water Body: Pleasant Creek	Labor Source:	Contractor		
			Years in System: 30	Design Weight Load:	25,000		
#23	Taylor	Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the Ballpark Creek Bridge on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County is tentatively approved for RTP program funding (50%), and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure		\$25,813		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$6,453		
			Site prep	\$15,438			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$10,375			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$6,453		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	TBD	Amount:	\$19,359.75		
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	PL 2		
		Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 83' x 10.8'	New Bridge Size:	83' x 11'		
			Water Body: Ballpark Creek	Labor Source:	Contractor		
			Years in System: 30	Design Weight Load:	25,000		
#24	Taylor	Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the Bear Creek Bridge on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County is tentatively approved for RTP program funding (50%), and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure		\$30,167		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$7,542		
			Site prep	\$18,042			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$12,125			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$7,542		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	TBD	Amount:	\$22,625.25		
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	PL 3		
		Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 97' x 10.8'	New Bridge Size:	97' x 11'		
			Water Body: Bear Creek	Labor Source:	Contractor		
			Years in System: 30	Design Weight Load:	25,000		

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	
#25	NOR-R Forest	Forest County proposes to replace a failing timber crossing over Furbush Creek . The existing structure was built by the club in the 1980's and has not received grant funding since. The county is tentatively approved for RTP funds (max \$45,000 per cap) as well.	*Structure	\$103,060	\$103,060		Q - Is there additional installation cost not documented?	
			Engineer		After Cost Share:			
			Permits		\$58,060			
			Site prep					
			Materials					
			Abutments					
			Pilings/Piers					
			Approaches					
			Culverts					
			Riprap					
			Labor					
			Equip Rental					
			Other					
			# of New Mi	0	\$58,060			
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	\$45,000			
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	39-12-05			
		Old Bridge Size:	24' x 12'	New Bridge Size:	28' x 12'			
		Water Body:	Furbush Creek	Labor Source:	Contractor			
		Years in System:	30+	Design Weight Load:	25,000			
	Variance or Exception?							
#26	NOR-R Langlade	Langlade County proposes to replace an failing wooden bridge with a new bridge (Brown Bridge). The existing bridge is believed to be 20+ years old. The decking and railings of this bridge are in need of replacement, and the substructure is beginning to sag as well. Langlade County is tentatively approved for 50% RTP funding, and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure	\$19,000	\$38,285			
			Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:			
			Gravel	\$660	\$9,571			
			Disposal	\$2,000				
			Site Prep	\$1,465				
			Abutments	\$5,000				
			Piling/Piers					
			Approaches					
			Culverts					
			Riprap	\$2,000				
			Labor	\$5,100				
			Equip Rental					
			Signs	\$60				
			# of New Mi	0	\$9,571			
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
		Yes or No:	TBD	Amount:	\$28,713.75			
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	Langlade-11			
		Old Bridge Size:	16' x 12'	New Bridge Size:	20' x 12'			
		Water Body:	unnamed	Labor Source:	Contractor			
		Years in System:	20+	Design Weight Load:	25,000			
	Variance or Exception?							
#27	NOR-R Iron	Iron County proposes to replace the decking and railings on a steel frame bridge over the Potato River on Trail 6 in the Town of Anderson. The bridge was built in 1995 with grant funds and has not received a grant since. Iron County is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure		\$9,156			
			Engineer		After Cost Share:			
			Gravel		\$4,578			
			Materials	\$5,389				
			Site Prep					
			Abutments					
			Piling/Piers					
			Approaches					
			Culverts					
			Riprap					
			Labor	\$2,520				
			Equip Rental	\$1,247				
			Signs					
			# of New Mi	0	\$4,578			
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
		Yes or No:	TBD	Amount:	\$4,577.82			
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	Iron #4			
		Old Bridge Size:	35' x 12'	New Bridge Size:				
		Water Body:	Potato River	Labor Source:	County			
		Years in System:	20+	Design Weight Load:	25,000			
	Variance or Exception?							

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#28	Price	Price County proposes to replace an existing bridge over Deer Creek. The existing bridge was last rehabbed by the club in 2000. The proposed new bridge will be 28.5' x 12.8' and include two 17' ramps to eliminate the need to place fill.	*Structure		\$33,000	After Cost Share: \$33,000	Q - Recommend going for 25k. Need trail map.
			Engineer				
			Gravel	\$16,000			
			Materials				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:		No	Amount:				
Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Private		Bridge Inventory #:	Price #12			
	Old Bridge Size: 28.5' x 12'		New Bridge Size: 28.5' x 12.8'				
	Water Body: Deer Creek		Labor Source: Contractor				
	Years in System: 19+		Design Weight Load: 14,000				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$10,000			
			Equip Rental	\$3,500			
			Signs				
			# of New Mi	0	\$33,000		
#29	Sheboygan	Sheboygan County proposes to replace the center support, decking and railings on Bridge 42	*Structure		\$1,600	After Cost Share: \$1,600	
			Engineer				
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$100			
			Materials	\$1,300			
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:		No	Amount:				
Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Private		Bridge Inventory #:	42			
	Old Bridge Size: 8x12		New Bridge Size: 8x12				
	Water Body: Ben Nutt Creek		Labor Source: club				
	Years in System: 13		Design Weight Load: 12,000				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$200			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$1,600		
TOTAL					\$1,132,641	\$0	\$0

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Reason	LUA Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-grans	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete App	TOTAL	
							0	17	5	10	10	10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10		
#31	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to relocate 1.8 miles of Corridor 10 near Sayner due to loss of easement. Trail will be relocated onto public land using existing logging roads. Scope of work includes widening/easing corners. No net gain/loss of miles.	*Structure		\$2,730														
			Engineer		After Cost Share:														
			Permits		\$2,730														
			Site prep																
			Materials																
			Abutments																
			Pilings/Piers																
			Approaches																
			Culverts																
			Riprap																
			Labor	\$650															
			Equip Rental	\$2,080															
			Other																
			# of New Mi	0	\$2,730														
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?																
			Yes or No:	No	Amount:														
			Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:														
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:														
			Water Body:		Labor Source:														
			Years in System:		Design Weight Load:														
#32	Rusk	Rusk County proposes to re-route Trail 31 to move the trail at the request of the landowner. The landowner is requesting this to move it away from his expanded maple syrup operation on the same property. The re-route will include a 3'x25' culvert and result in an increase of .1 miles of new trail. Corridor 31 re-route.	*Structure		\$4,050														
			Engineer		After Cost Share:														
			Permits	\$1,400	\$4,050														
			Site prep																
			Materials																
			Abutments																
			Pilings/Piers																
			Approaches																
			Culverts		\$2,650														
			Riprap																
			Labor																
			Equip Rental																
			Other																
			# of New Mi	0	\$4,050														
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?																
			Yes or No:	No	Amount:														
			Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:														
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:														
			Water Body:		Labor Source:														
			Years in System:		Design Weight Load:														
#33	Pierce	Co Rd Y Reroute - Due to a landowner change and all efforts exhausted, Pierce Co is required to reroute a trail and remove a bridge that has existed for over 30 years. Proposal is to remove bridge, reroute trail north to utilize and existing highway bridge that crosses the Rush River. Two approaches would be needed.	*Structure		\$19,633														
			Engineer		After Cost Share:														
			Permits		\$19,633														
			Site prep																
			Materials	\$5,020															
			Abutments																
			Pilings/Piers																
			Approaches																
			Culverts																
			Riprap																
			Labor	\$5,483															
			Equip Rental	\$2,450															
			Other	\$6,680															
			# of New Mi	0	\$19,633														
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?																
			Yes or No:	No	Amount:														
			Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:														
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:														
			Water Body:		Labor Source:														
			Years in System:		Design Weight Load:														

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	5	15	5	10	10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10	TOTAL	Q&A		
#3	SCR	Sauk	In 2018, the Merrimac Snowbusters completed phase 1 of a reroute through the previous Badger Army Ammo Plant. Phase 2 is scheduled to be completed in 2019. This phase eliminates 4 landowners & a fence safety concern. Funding is needed for major brushing & other tasks. This trail is a major connector from the north of Sauk County to the southern trails. Exact miles of the reroute are unknown at this time but are estimated to be about the same as the existing trail, if not less.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$13,000	\$13,000 After Cost Share: \$13,000	3	17	5	10	5	2	2	10	0	0	0	54		
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?																			
	Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> No Amount: _____																			
	Variance Exception	Public or Private: <input type="checkbox"/> Public	Bridge Inventory #: _____																	
	Old Bridge Size: _____	New Bridge Size: _____																		
	Water Body: _____	Labor Source: _____																		
	Years in System: _____	Design Weight Load: _____	# of New Mi	0	\$13,000															
#3	NOR	Rusk	Rusk County proposes to re-route Trail 12 due to a wetland area that does not always freeze down. The project will involve some dozing and brushing with 5 miles of trail and will add 2.3 miles of new trail. Trail 12 re-route.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$2,200	\$2,200 After Cost Share: \$2,200	0	17	5	10	0	0	2	10	0	0	0	44		
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?																			
	Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> No Amount: _____																			
	Variance Exception	Public or Private: _____	Bridge Inventory #: _____																	
	Old Bridge Size: _____	New Bridge Size: _____																		
	Water Body: _____	Labor Source: _____																		
	Years in System: _____	Design Weight Load: _____	# of New Mi	2	\$2,200															
#3	NOR	Douglas	Douglas County proposes to re-route a short section of trail under a WIDOT bridge to remove an at grade crossing. The project will involve the removal of soil under the bridge to provide enough overhead clearance and adding a gravel base. This project is proposed to be split with ATV, 50% Trail 2 Re-Route	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$2,615 \$510	\$3,125 After Cost Share: \$1,563												0		
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?																			
	Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> yes Amount: 1562																			
	Variance Exception	Public or Private: _____	Bridge Inventory #: _____																	
	Old Bridge Size: _____	New Bridge Size: _____																		
	Water Body: _____	Labor Source: _____																		
	Years in System: _____	Design Weight Load: _____	# of New Mi	0	\$1,563															

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	5	15	5	10	10	10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10	TOTAL	Q&A
	Dunn, cont'd	Bridge #2	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$40,900	\$40,900 After Cost Share: \$40,900													0	
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?																	
		Yes or No: No		Amount:															
	Variance Exception	Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #:																
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size: 12' x 45'																
		Water Body: 18 Mile Crk Trib	Labor Source:																
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$40,900													
#4	Iron	Iron County proposes to construct a 35' x 12' bridge over an unnamed outlet of Stone Lake in the Highway 182 Right of Way near Springstead , in order to relocate the funded trail off the current creek crossing on the highway shoulder. Poor sightlines make this road shoulder crossing hazardous. The new snowmobile bridge will be placed on DOT right-of-way.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$12,816 \$250 \$143	\$20,918 After Cost Share: \$20,918			17	5	5	0	2	5	10	0	0	0	44	
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?																	
		Yes or No: No		Amount:															
	Variance Exception	Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #:																
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size: 35' x 12'																
		Water Body: Unnamed	Labor Source: Force Acco																
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$20,918													
TOTAL						\$170,516													
						\$0													

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Impact	LT Cost	Active	Incomplete	County	Total	Q & A	
NOR	Rusk	Rusk County proposes to install a new 12'x100' bridge on the S Fork Main Creek to alleviate a hazardous ice crossing. The project will require a wetland delineation and H&H analysis. Hawkins Mill Pond Bridge	*Structure	\$159,000	\$168,000		5	10	5	17	10	20	25	-10	-10	10	72	Q - No contact with WMS? What kind of abutments are proposed?	
#45			Engineer	\$5,000	After Cost Share: \$168,000		0	5	0	5	8	5	5	0	0	10	38		
			Permits																
				Site prep															
			Materials																
			Abutments																
			Pilings/Piers																
			Approaches																
			Culverts																
			Riprap																
			Labor																
			Equip Rental																
			delineation	\$4,000															
			# of New Mi	0	\$168,000														
TOTAL					\$261,400														\$0

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access			Gateway-Population Access			Gateway-High Use			Map attached Y/N			Certification attached Y/N			Public Lands 0-3 pts			Connect Counties/Stater 0-3 pts			Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts			Trail Ratio 1-3 pts			Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts			County Coordinator 0-2 pts			Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts			Deducts parallel trails 3 pts			Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts			TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
					1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3							
NM-1	NOR-R	Forest	Wolf River State Trail	14.4	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	3	3	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	15	\$0	\$4,320																												
NM-2	NOR	Douglas	Trail 217	5.9	y	n	n	y	y	2	3	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	15		\$1,770																													
NM-3	NOR	Sawyer	Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	0.78	y	n	n	y	y	3	3	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	15		\$234																													
NM-5	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 18	3.44	y	n	n	y	y	3	2	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	14		\$1,032																													
NM-6	NOR-R	Taylor	Trail 86 aka North Loop	3	Y			Y	Y	3	3	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	14	\$0	\$900																													
NM-7	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 3	1.6	y	n	n	y	y	3	3	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	14		\$480																													
NM-10	NOR-R	Taylor	Taylor to Price Connector	11.9	Y			Y	Y	3	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	13	\$0	\$3,570																													
NM-12	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	5.69	y	n	y	y	y	1	3	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	13		\$1,707																													
NM-13	NOR-R	Taylor	State Corridor 25	4.3			Y	Y	Y	3	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	13	\$0	\$1,290																													
NM-14	NOR-R	Price	Trail 85	3	Y			Y	Y	3	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	13	\$0	\$900																													
NM-15	NOR-R	Taylor	G Trail	2			Y	Y	Y	3	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	13	\$0	\$600																													
NM-9	WCR	Eau Claire	Fairchild Connector	12.2	X	X	X	X	X	3	3	1	3	1	2				13		\$3,660																													
NM-11	WCR	Chippewa	Shortcut	6.2		X	X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2				12		\$1,860																														
NM-16	NOR-R	Price	Catawba to Taylor	21	Y			Y	Y	0	3	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	12	\$0	\$6,300																													
NM-17	WCR	Trempealeau	Newcomb Valley Trail	15	X	X	X	X	X	2	2	1	3	2	2				12		\$4,500																													
NM-18	NOR	Douglas	Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	12	y	n	n	y	y	1	3	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	12		\$3,600																													
NM-19	WCR	Monroe	Trail 34	12		X	X	X	X	2	3	1	2	2	2				12		\$3,600																													
NM-20	NOR	Rusk	Trail 16	6	y	y	n	y	y	3	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	12	\$400	\$2,200																													
NM-21	NER	Winnebago	C2 Drifters Waupaca Trail	4.65	x			y	n	2	3	1	3	1	2				12		\$1,395																													
NM-22	NOR-R	Taylor	River Trail	1.8		Y	Y	Y	Y	3	2	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	12	\$0	\$540																													
NM-230	SCR	Sauk	HC3W	1.77	x	x		x	x	3	3	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	12		\$531																													
NM-226	SCR	Iowa	Bareneveld Trail Eagles request	17.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	2	2	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	12	\$0	\$5,250																													
NM-23	NOR-R	Taylor	Medford North Trail	1.7		Y	Y	Y	Y	3	2	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	12	\$0	\$510																													
NM-25	NER	Marinette	Ranger City Corridor 1	23.25	x			y	y	2	2	2	2	2	2				12		\$6,975																													
NM-24	SCR	Richland	Ithaca trail	13	x			y	y	0	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	11	\$3,500	\$7,400																													
NM-26	NER	Marquette	#1 Montello West	12.7		x	x	y	y	1	2	1	3	2	2				11		\$3,810																													
NM-27	NOR	Rusk	Trail 113	3.4	n	n	y	y	y	1	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	11	\$2,600	\$3,620																													
NM-29	SCR	Grant	Preston to Fennimore	9	x	x	x	y	y	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	11		\$2,700																													
NM-30	WCR	Trempealeau	Boondocks	8.5	X	X	X	X	X	2	3	1	3	2					11		\$2,550																													

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access													TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7					
NM-31	NOR-R	Taylor	C Trail Central	8.4		Y	Y	Y	Y	1	2	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$2,520	
NM-32	SCR	Lafayette	Arthur-Belmont	7.75	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	11		\$2,325	
NM-33	SCR	Grant	Sandy Hook Trail	6.01	x	x		y	y	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	11		\$1,803	
NM-34	NOR	Ashland	Trail 13A	6	n	y	y	y	y	0	1	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	11		\$1,800	
NM-35	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail Alt 13	4		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	3	3	2	2	1	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$1,200	
NM-36	NOR-R	Taylor	C Trail South	3.7	Y			Y	Y	1	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$1,110	
NM-37	NOR-R	Taylor	A Trail	3.5	Y			Y	Y	1	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$1,050	
NM-38	NOR-R	Taylor	P Trail	3			Y	Y	Y	3	1	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$900	
NM-39	NOR-R	Taylor	E Trail	2.9	Y			Y	Y	1	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$870	
NM-40	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 10D Eagle River	2		Y	Y	Y	Y	3	3	3	0	2	0			11	\$0	\$600		
NM-41	NOR-R	Lincoln	Hwy JJ Merrill Access	1.5		Y	Y	Y	Y	3	1	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$450	
NM-45	SCR	Grant	Ellenboro-Arthur	18	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	11		\$5,400	
NM-42	NOR-R	Iron	Cramer Reroute Ext	2.3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	3	1	3	2	2	2	-3	0	0	10	\$34,382	\$35,072	
NM-43	SCR	Dane	County Line #17	21.1	x	x	x	y	y	2	3	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	10		\$6,330	
NM-44	SCR	Dane	Waubesa Snobirds #29	19.2	x	x	x	y	y	2	1	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	10		\$5,760	
NM-46	SCR	Grant	Beetown to North Andover	14	x	x	x	y	y	2	2	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	10		\$4,200	
NM-47	WCR	Chippewa	Lake Hallie Trail	13		X	X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2				10		\$3,900		
NM-48	SCR	Dane	Marshall/Utica/Nora/Rockdale #27	9.7	x	x	x	y	y	2	2	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	10		\$2,910	
NM-50	NER	Marquette	#2	6.7	x		x	y	y	1	3	1	3	2				10		\$2,010		
NM-51	NER	Waushara	Coloma #23A-Co Line	6.7	x	x	x	y	y	2	3	1	1	2	1			10		\$2,010		
NM-52	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail I	6			Y	Y	Y	3	2	3	2	2	1	0	-3	0	10	\$0	\$1,800	
NM-53	SCR	Jefferson	Waterloo Spur	5	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	10		\$1,500	
NM-54	NER	Marquette	#3	4.5	x		x	y	y	1	3	1	3	2				10		\$1,350		
NM-55	SCR	Jefferson	Hwy 16 parallel	4.5	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	10		\$1,350	
NM-56	SCR	Dane	White Lightning #18	3.9	x	x	x	y	y	2	3	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	10		\$1,170	
NM-57	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 19	3.8	n	n	y	y	y	3	2	3	3	2	0	-3	0	0	10		\$1,140	
NM-58	WCR	Chippewa	Big C	3.8		X	X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2				10		\$1,140		
NM-59	NOR-R	Taylor	Q Trail	3.8		Y		Y	Y	1	2	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	10	\$0	\$1,140	
NM-60	SCR	Iowa	Arthur club request	2.9	x	x	x	y	y	1	3	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	10		\$870	

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access										TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED			
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	7							
NM-122	NER	Brown	Access to Village Park/Gas/Food	0.8	x	x	y	n	2	1	1	2	2				8	\$240			
NM-123	NER	Manitowoc	G-2	0.5	x		y	y	2	1	1	2	2	0			8	\$150			
NM-124	SCR	Lafayette	Fayette Access	0.5		x	x	y	y	0	2	1	3	2	0	0	0	8	\$150		
NM-28	NER	Marinette	River Road Riders-Corridor 3	8.2	x			y	y	3	2	2	2	2	0	-3		8	\$2,460		
NM-98	WCR	Buffalo	Gilmanton to Waumandee	17		X	X	X	X	0	2	1	2	2	1			8	\$5,100		
NM-99	NER	Shawano	Tigerton to Carolina	13.6		x		y	n	0	2	2	0	2	2			8	\$4,080		
NM-125	SCR	Grant	Lancaster to Beetown	15	x	x	x	y	y	0	2	1	2	1	1	0	0	7	\$4,500		
NM-126	WCR	Chippewa	Rough Rider	11.1			X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2		-3		7	\$3,330		
NM-127	SCR	Dodge	Segment #2	11	x	x	x	y	y	1	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	7	\$3,300		
NM-128	NER	Marquette	#2A	8.4	x		x	y	y	1	3	1	3	2		-3		7	\$2,520		
NM-129	WCR	St Croix	250th Trail (9A)	8	X	X	X		X		1	1	3	2				7	\$2,400		
NM-231	SCR	Sauk	HC4N + H6	7.89			x	x	x	0	1	1	3	2	0	0	0	7	\$2,367		
NM-130	NOR	Burnett	Trail 80	7.7	y	n	n	y	y	0	3	2	3	1	1	-3	0	7	\$2,310		
NM-131	WCR	Marathon	Stratford Connector	6.38		X		X	X	2	1	2	1	2	2		-3		7	\$1,914	
NM-132	NOR	Polk	West Sweden	6.34	y	y	y	y	y	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	7	\$1,902		
NM-133	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 12 Conover	5.6		Y	Y	Y	Y	3	1	3	0	1	2	0	-3	0	7	\$0	\$1,680
NM-134	WCR	Chippewa	Buckhorn	5.3	X		X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2		-3		7	\$1,590		
NM-135	NER	Shawano	Outagamie Trail	4.6	x			y	n	0	3	2	0	2				7	\$1,380		
NM-136	NOR-R	Lincoln	Old J	4.6			Y	Y	Y	3	1	2	2	2	0	0	-3	0	7	\$0	\$1,380
NM-137	WCR	St Croix	Cty Rd C (4A)	3.5	X	X	X		X		1	1	3	2				7	\$1,050		
NM-138	WCR	Chippewa	High Rider	3.2		X	X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2		-3		7	\$960		
NM-139	NER	Oconto	Chute, Unfunded 1	2.774			x	y	y	1	1	2	1	2				7	\$832		
NM-140	NER	Calumet	Badger Creek Trail (connects to M	2.4	x				y	2	3	1	1	2	1		-3		7	\$720	
NM-141	NOR-R	Price	Trail 88	2.4			Y	Y	Y	0	2	3	2	2	0	0	0	-2	7	\$0	\$720
NM-142	WCR	Marathon	Wittenberg Connector	2.26	X			X	X	2	3	2	1	2			-3		7	\$678	
NM-143	NOR-R	Lincoln	Vascheau	1.9			Y	Y	Y	0	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	7	\$0	\$570	
NM-144	NER	Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 3	1.638		x		y	y	1	1	2	1	2				7	\$491		
NM-145	NER	Oconto	Chase, Unfunded 1	0.728		x		y	y	1	1	2	1	2				7	\$218		
NM-146	WCR	Marathon	Wiouwash	0.68			X	X	X	2	3	2	1	2			-3		7	\$204	

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access										TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED			
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	7							
NM-147	NER	Shawano	Wildlife Trail	0.52	x			y	n	0	3	2	0	2				7	\$156		
NM-148	NER	Oconto	Chase, Unfunded 2	0.361			x	y	y	1	1	2	1	2				7	\$108		
NM-49	NER	Calumet	Fond du Lac Co line to Chilton	9	x				y	2	3	1	1	2	1		-3	7	\$2,700		
NM-149	NER	Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 1	8.73		x		y	y	1	2	2	1	2	1		-3	6	\$2,619		
NM-150	NOR-R	Lincoln	Somo	8.5		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	3	2	2	1	0	0	0	-2	6	\$0	\$2,550
NM-151	WCR	Juneau	Necedah to Germantown	8.32		X		X	X	0	1	1	2	2				6		\$2,496	
NM-152	NOR-R	Lincoln	McCord	7.7			Y	Y	Y	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$2,310	
NM-232	SCR	Sauk	H3	6.97		x	x	x	x	0	1	1	3	2	2	0	-3	0	6		\$2,091
NM-153	WCR	Adams	R-4,R-5,R-6 Rome Area Access	6.92		X	X	X	X		1	1	2	2				6		\$2,076	
NM-154	SCR	Columbia	Connecting trail - Keyser #71 to Da	6	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	2	2	1	0	-3	0	6		\$1,800
NM-155	NER	Waupaca	Trail 10	5.7	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$1,710	
NM-156	WCR	Pierce	Plum City North	5.7	X	X	X	X	X	1	2	1	2	2	1		-3	6		\$1,710	
NM-157	NER	Shawano	Docs Trail	5.6		x		y	n	0	2	2	0	2				6		\$1,680	
NM-158	SER	Sheboygan	7TSF2,PSR Club-2	5.59	x	x	x	y	y	3	1	1	1	2	1		-3	6		\$1,677	
NM-159	WCR	Pierce	E Prescott Trail	5.3	X		X	X		1	2	1	2	2	1		-3	6		\$1,590	
NM-160	NOR	Ashland	Trail 9A (Special note)	5	n	n	y	y	y	0	1	3	3	2	0	-3	0	0	6		\$1,500
NM-161	WCR	Chippewa	Weiser Trail	4.5			X	X	X	2	1	2	2	2			-3	6		\$1,350	
NM-162	NER	Oconto	Red Arrow, Unfunded 1	4.465	x			y	y	3	1	2	1	2			-3	6		\$1,340	
NM-163	NER	Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 2	4.359			x	y	y	3	1	2	1	2			-3	6		\$1,308	
NM-164	WCR	Monroe	Trail 60	4			X	X	X	2	2	1	2	2			-3	6		\$1,200	
NM-165	WCR	Buffalo	Mondovi North to Cty Line	3.9		X	X	X	X	0	1	1	2	2	0			6		\$1,170	
NM-166	NER	Door	West Jacksonport Cross Trail	3.5			x		n	0	1	1	1	1	2			6		\$1,050	
NM-167	NER	Shawano	Mattoon to Langlade Co	3.5	x			y	n	0	3	2	0	1				6		\$1,050	
NM-168	SER	Sheboygan	RL Club -4	3.35	x	x	x	y	y	1	3	1	1	2	1		-3	6		\$1,005	
NM-169	SER	Sheboygan	NF Club-1	3.24	x			y	y	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$972	
NM-170	SER	Racine	Snowhawks	3.05		x	x	y	y	0	2	1	0	2	1			6		\$915	
NM-171	NER	Waupaca	Trail 1	3	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$900	
NM-172	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 1 Eagle River	3		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	1	3	0	2	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$900	
NM-174	Shwno?	Oconto	Gillett, Unfunded 3	1.95	x			y	y	1	3	2	1	2			-3	6		\$585	

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers. "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access										Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Connect Counties/Stater 0-3 pts	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Certification attached Y/N	Map attached Y/N	Gateway-High Use	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-Primary Access	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7																												
NM-175	NER	Oconto	Red Arrow, Unfunded 2	1.589			x	y	y	3	1	2	1	2			-3																	6		\$477					
NM-176	NER	Waupaca	Trail 7	1.5	x		x	y	y	0	3	1	1	1																				6		\$450					
NM-178	WCR	Adams	GM6 Grand Marsh to Adams	1		X		X		1	1	1	2	1																				6		\$300					
NM-117	NER	Outagamie	Z1-1-NG	1.72	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	1	2	1																		-3	5		\$516					
NM-118	NER	Outagamie	Z6-1-NPR	1.41	x	x		y	y	0	3	1	1	2	1																			-3	5		\$423				
NM-179	NER	Manitowoc	D2-2,D-2-1, B-2 Pigeon	11.5				y	y	2	1	1	2	2																				-3	5		\$3,450				
NM-180	NER	Waupaca	Trail 6	10.5		x	x	y	y	0	2	1	1	1																					5		\$3,150				
NM-181	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail 51C	8.4			Y	Y	Y	0	2	3	2	1	0	0	-3	0																5	\$0	\$2,520					
NM-182	NER	Shawano	Red River Loop	8			x	y	n	0	1	2	0	2																					5		\$2,400				
NM-183	NER	Shawano	Tilleda Loop	6.8		x		y	n	0	1	2	0	2																					5		\$2,040				
NM-184	SER	Sheboygan	FC Club-1	6.25	x	x	y	y		0	1	1	1	2																					5		\$1,875				
NM-185	WCR	Marathon	Hatley Connector Trail	5.72		X		X	X	2	1	2	1	2																				-3	5		\$1,716				
NM-186	WCR	Marathon	West Marathon City Connector	5.28		X		X	X	2	1	2	1	2																					-3	5		\$1,584			
NM-187	WCR	Marathon	Memories Connector	5.02		X		X	X	2	1	2	1	2																					-3	5		\$1,506			
NM-188	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail 8A	4.8			Y	Y	Y	0	2	3	2	1	0	0	-3	0																	5	\$0	\$1,440				
NM-189	SCR	Columbia	Otsego #70 to Casino (connecting	4.1	x	x	x	y	y	0	1	1	2	2	1	0	-2	0																		5		\$1,230			
NM-233	SCR	Sauk	W7	4.07	x	x		x	x	0	3	1	3	1	0	0	-3	0																		5		\$1,221			
NM-190	NER	Marquette	#4 Endeavor loop	3.9			x	y	y	1	1	1	3	2																					-3	5		\$1,170			
NM-191	NER	Marinette	River Road Riders Int 315-Int 318	3.4		x			y	1	1	2	2	2	0																				-3	5		\$1,020			
NM-192	WCR	Marathon	North Marathon City Connector	3.05		X		X	X	2	1	2	1	2																					-3	5		\$915			
NM-193	WCR	Marathon	Kelley Connector	2.96			X	X	X	2	1	2	1	2																						-3	5		\$888		
NM-194	WCR	Marathon	South Mararthon City Connector	2.86		X		X	X	2	1	2	1	2																						-3	5		\$858		
NM-195	SER	Sheboygan	AY Club-1	2.6	x	x	y	y		3	1	1	1	2																						-3	5		\$780		
NM-196	NER	Kewaunee	KU-SLN	2.4			x		y	0	1	1	0	2	1																						5		\$720		
NM-197	SER	Waukesha	Trial 16 & Trail 8 connector	2.1	x	x	y	y		0	1	1	3	1	2																					-3	5		\$630		
NM-198	NER	Oconto	Gillett, Unfunded 2	2.021		x		y	y	1	2	2	1	2																						-3	5		\$606		
NM-199	NER	Shawano	Mountain Bay to Gresham	2		x		y	n	0	1	2	0	2																							5		\$600		
NM-200	NER	Shawano	Shortcut Trail	1.9			x	y	n	0	1	2	0	2																							5		\$570		
NM-201	WCR	Marathon	Pine Lane Trail	1.35			X	X	X	2	1	2	1	2																						-3	5		\$405		

