2019-20 SNOWMOBILE STORM DAMAGE REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved
NER	Oconto	High winds and rain between 7/18/19 and 7/20/19 caused excessive tree damage. The <u>preliminary</u> cost estimate is primarily debris	Bridges Culverts	* 007.440	\$367,140 After Cost Share:	
#S1		clearing and removal. Some trail rehab is anticipated. 50% requested from ATV council.	Equip & Labor Other	\$367,140	\$183,570	
WCD	La Crassa	Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: FEMA Eligible? TBD FEMA Applied For? TBD			\$183,570	
WCR	La Crosse	Between the time frame of 8/17/18 and 9/14/2018, La Crosse Co experienced unusually severe storm activity resulting in rapidly moving flood water. The flooding water caused a number of	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor	\$11.995	\$11,995 After Cost Share: \$11,995	
#S2		washouts, loss of rip rap and mudslides on the trail in numerous locations.	Other	Ψ11,000	ψ11,333	
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: FEMA Eligible? Denied FEMA Applied For? Yes			\$11,995	
WCR	Monroe	The week of August 28, 2018 the county received extensive amounts of rainfall that caused severe damage on the trails. They have repaired most of the damage and are looking for reimbursement.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor	\$28,147	\$28,147 After Cost Share: \$28,147	
#S3			Other			
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: FEMA Eligible? Denied FEMA Applied For? Yes	-		\$28,147	
WCR	Pierce	Due to rain event on April 17, 2019, the snowmobile bridge over the Big River is no longer in place. The trail is gone as well.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor	\$128,500	\$131,500 After Cost Share:	
# S4			Other	\$3,000	\$131,500	
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: FEMA Eligible? No FEMA Applied For? No			\$131,500	

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE STORM DAMAGE REQUESTS

NOR-R	Langlade	Langlade County was impacted by a high wind storm on July 19,	Bridges		\$000.450	
#\$5		2019. Hundreds of miles of snowmobile trail were impacted, and the State of WI declared a state of emergency. This is a preliminary	Culverts Equip & Labor	\$329,150	\$329,150 After Cost Share: \$329,150	
#33		estimate of the cost to clear the trails, Funds are also being requested of the ORV council.	Other	¥ 323,.33	V 3,	
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: FEMA Eligible? TBD FEMA Applied For? TBD			\$329,150	
NOR-R	Price	Price County proposes to repair a bridge over Beaver Creek that was washed out during storms on May 9th and May 19th. Scope of work includes adding fill to the approaches and leveling the deck.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor	\$2.020	\$4,940 After Cost Share: \$4,940	
#S6			Materials	\$2,920	V 1,0 10	
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: FEMA Eligible? FEMA Applied For?			\$4,940	
			Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other		\$0 After Cost Share: \$0	
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: FEMA Eligible? FEMA Applied For?		TOTAL	\$0 \$689,302	\$0

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE COST INCREASE REQUESTS

					New/Increase			
Region	County				Component	New Costs	Total	Approved
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County has received th	ree bids for this bridge	e replacement	Bridge	\$81,610	\$81,610	
		project, ranging from \$265,000 t	o \$580,000. This is hi	gher than			After Cost Share:	
		originally anticipated. The count	y is seeking an addition	onal \$81,610 to			\$81,610	
#A1		cover the lowest bid.	,					
""	'							
]			
		Other funds received, reques	ted, or committed?					
		Yes or No: No	Amount:					
		Original Grant # S-4898	Funding Category	Bridge Rehab				
		Previous Grant \$204,390	New Grant Amt	\$286,000			\$81,610	
		Amt	(After)					
	·	·	•			TOTAL	\$81,610	\$0

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NER	Calumet	Calumet propose	s to replace two	culverts lost during	the March flood	*Structure		\$4,300	• •	Q - More details
		event on Corridor	r 5. The two rep	lacement culverts w	ill both be 30X24	Engineer		After Cost Share:		needed. May
		based on downst	ream culvert siz	es and consultation	with County	Permits		\$4,300		approve up to
#A1		Land & Water Co			,	Site prep				\$4,350 and
				7		Materials				leave it to county
						Abutments				discretion which
						Pilings/Piers				material to use.
						Approaches	\$400			
		Other funds re	ceived. reque	sted. or committe	ed <u>?</u>	Culverts	\$1,900			
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor				
	Variance or	Old Culvert Size:		New Culvert Size:	30x24	Equip Rental	\$2,000			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Pine Creek Trib		Contractor	Other				
		Years in System:	10	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$4,300		
NER	Fond du Lac			rehab a bridge on C				\$10,000		Q - Need Photo
				it, by replacing one	substructure	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#B1		stringer and the e	entire deck.			Permits		\$10,000		
#DT						Site prep				
1						Materials	\$5,000			
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
				sted. or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor	\$5,000			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	44x10	New Bridge Size:	44x10	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body:	Milwaukee River		Contractor	Other		*		
		Years in System:	28	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$10,000		
NER	Manitowoc			eplace this failed brid	dge with a 12x40	*Structure	\$34,000	\$47,920		Q - Please quote
		bridge on B-1 We	est Trail.			Engineer	 	After Cost Share:		a 25k lb bridge
#C1						Permits		\$47,920		as well.
						Site prep				
						Materials				
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches	 			
					ed?	Culverts	 			
		Yes or No:	No No	Amount:		Riprap	#0.70°			
	., .	Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	?	Labor	\$9,700			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	12x20	New Bridge Size:	12x40	Equip Rental	\$1,000			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Mud Creek	Labor Source:	Contractor	bridge remova	\$3,220	^		
		Years in System:	13	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$47,920		

Region	County			Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NOR	Douglas	Douglas County proposes to re	eplace a broken bridge with a 60 incl			\$7,007		
	-		will include removal of old bridge and			After Cost Share:		
			ect is a 50/50 split with ATV. Trail 2			\$3,503		
		Bridge Replacement.	,	Site prep	\$2,077			
				Materials				
#1				Abutments				
				Pilings/Piers				
				Approaches				
		Other funds received. rea	uested. or committed?	Culverts	\$2,930			
		Yes or No: ves	Amount: 35	04 Riprap	\$500			
-		Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #:	13 Labor	\$1,500			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12x24	New Bridge Size: 5x24 culvert	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: Trib Popular		Other		#0.500		
NOR	Polk	Years in System: 25	Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$3,503 \$62,596		
NOR	Polk	, , ,	the Cattail Trail Head Bridge near	*Structure		' '		
			t of redecking, runners, and some	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#2		, ,	vill be split 50/50 with ATV program.	Permits		\$26,298		
#2		Cattail Trail Head Bridge Re	nab	Site prep Materials	\$26,629			
	_			Abutments	\$20,029			
				Pilings/Piers				
				Approaches				
		Other from de manaisse de man		Culverts				
		Other funds received, rea	uested, or committed?	98 Riprap				
		Yes or No: ves Public or Private: public	Amount: 362 Bridge Inventory #:	1 Labor	\$20,700			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 16x140	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental	\$5,267			
	Exception?	Water Body: Apple Rive	_	Other	\$5,207			
-	LACEPHOTE	Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$36,298		
NOR	Rusk		ace the Trail 27 Bridge with a large a		T	\$22,200		Q - Please meet
	1.301		ject will require a wetland delineation		\$5,000	After Cost Share:		with water regs.
#3		and H&H analysis. <i>Trail 27 B</i>		Permits	ψο,σσσ	\$22,200		Why wetland
#5		land Hour analysis. Trail 27 B	luge Neplacement	Site prep	\$7,000	v ,		delineation and
	J			Materials	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			H&H analysis?
				Abutments				Feasible to put
				Pilings/Piers				in culvert?
				Approaches				
		Other funds received, rea	uested, or committed?	Culverts	\$5,200			
		Yes or No: No	Amount:	Riprap				
		Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #:	17 Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12x24	New Bridge Size: 112"x75"x30"	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: Twin Creek		wetland delin.	\$5,000			
		Years in System: 21	Design Weight Load: 14000?	# of New Mi	0	\$22,200		

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NOR	Washburn	Washburn County	proposes to re	hab the Hay Creek E	Bridge. The	*Structure		\$61,268		Q - Does
	1			ement of bridge dec		Engineer		After Cost Share:		Washburn Co
#4		layer. Also applied	d for ATV and	RTP (tentatively appr	roved at 50%).	Permits		\$30,634		insure their
π-		Hay Creek Bridge	e Rehab.			Site prep				bridges?
	•					Materials	\$53,768			
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
				sted. or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	ves	Amount:	30634	Riprap	#7 500			
		Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:	1311	Labor	\$7,500			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	12x178	New Bridge Size:	famos fallula	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body:	Hay Creek	Labor Source:	force/club	Other		#20.024		
WC	Chippewa	Years in System:	17	Design Weight Load: litate a 12' x 45' stee	12,000	# of New Mi *Structure	\$54,000	\$30,634 \$67.900		Q - Need 2
WC	Chippewa				•	Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:		quotes. Why
		,		lled in 1996 - \$18,00		Permits	\$900	\$67,900		isn't the quote
#5				there is less than 24		Site prep	\$1,000	\$67, 3 00		for 25,000 lbs?
				the baseflow elevation		Materials	\$1,000			Is portage an
		The bridge will be refurbished to replace decking, add adequate ramps, reset to increase clearance and increase weight capacity.				Abutments	\$2,000			option, to negate
		ramps, reset to inc	crease clearan	ce and increase weig	tht capacity.	Pilings/Piers	Ψ2,000			raising the
						Approaches				bridge?
		Other funds rec	soived reque	sted. or committe	nd2	Culverts				anage r
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,000			
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$5,000			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 45'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 45'	Equip Rental	, - ,			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Cedar Creek	Labor Source:		Other				
		Years in System:	23+	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$67,900		
WC	Chippewa	Chippewa Co prop	oses to rehabi	litate a 12' X 40' stee	el bridge on Cor.	*Structure		\$12,782		
				e upstream banks ar		Engineer		After Cost Share:		
щС				nis time will extend th		Permits		\$12,782		
#6				o site preparation an		Site prep	\$1,500			
1		labor.				Materials				
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
				sted, or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$6,782			
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	18	Labor	\$4,500			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 40'	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental				
[Exception?	Water Body:	Otter Creek	Labor Source:		Other				
		Years in System:	29	Design Weight Load:	12,000	# of New Mi	0	\$12,782		

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
WC	Chippewa	Chippewa Co proposes to rehabilitate a 12' x 30' steel truss bridge	originally *Structure	\$20,700	\$21,900	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Q - Need a 2nd
	1	built and installed in 1995 - \$7,400 S-1724. The bridge was remov			After Cost Share:		quote. Why is
#7		Cor. 33 when the trail was rerouted. Proposal refurbish the bridge	by original Permits	\$1,200	\$21,900		the quote 14,000
		manufacturer,(Anderson) reinforce to provide a 14,000 lb cap. and	l installed on Site prep				lb if upgrading to
		the Boyd Cut Across Trail which currently has an at grade stream	crossing. Materials				25,000 lb?
		Bridge to be set on steel pilings protected by riprap.	Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: No Amount:	Riprap				
		Public or Private: private Bridge Inventory #:	3 Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 30' New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: Yellow Riv Trib Labor Source:	Other				
		Years in System: 24 Design Weight Load: 14,0		0	\$21,900		
WC	Dunn	Dunn County proposes to replace a deteriorated bridge trai		\$33,400	\$43,900		Q - Require
	1	bridge over Otter Creek on Corridor 64.	Engineer		After Cost Share:		bridge to be
#8			Permits		\$43,900		anchored?
#0			Site prep	\$9,000			
1	J		Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
		Other funds received. requested. or committed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: No Amount:	Riprap				
			n 14 Labor				
	Variance or		40' w/appr Equip Rental	\$1,500			
	Exception?	Water Body: Otter Creek Labor Source:	Other				
		Years in System: Design Weight Load: 25,0		0	\$43,900		
WC	Jackson	Jackson Co proposes to contract new deck installation on t			\$2,200		Q - Why is this
		Creek Bridge. The project will be a requested split with AT			After Cost Share:		not being taken
			Permits		\$1,100		care of under
			Site prep				maintenance?
#9			Materials	\$2,200			
1			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: Ves Amount:	1100 Riprap				
	., .		0028 Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: Glenn Creek Labor Source:	Other		04.400		
		Years in System: 19 Design Weight Load: 12,0	00 # of New Mi	0	\$1,100		

Region	County				Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
WC	Jackson	Jackson Co proposes to cor	tract new deck and railing	installation on	*Structure		\$4,068	1.1	Q - Why is this
		the Indian Grave Creek Brid			Engineer		After Cost Share:		not being taken
#10		with ATV.	,	- 4	Permits		\$2,034		care of under
					Site prep		. ,		maintenance?
					Materials	\$4,068			What was the
					Abutments				source of the
					Pilings/Piers				2011 funds?
					Approaches				
		Other funds received, re	guested, or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: Yes	Amount:	2034	Riprap				
		Public or Private: public	Bridge Inventory #:	JC30023	Labor				
-	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: Indian Cr	eek Labor Source:		Other				
		Years in System: 19	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$2,034		
WC	Juneau	Juneau Co proposes to repa	ir Hillsboro Trail Bridge #3	3 due to severe	*Structure		\$9,200		Q - Need 2
		damage to the abutments du	ring the 2018 flooding ev	ent.	Engineer		After Cost Share:		quotes. What is
					Permits		\$9,200		the weight of the
шл л					Site prep				equipment?
#11					Materials				Need map
					Abutments	\$9,200			showing bridge
					Pilings/Piers				location.
					Approaches				
		Other funds received, re	quested. or committe	d?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: No	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private: public	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 7!	· ·		Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: W Br Bara			Other				
		Years in System: 7	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$9,200		
WC	La Crosse	La Crosse Co proposes to re	•	idge which was	*Structure	\$79,000	\$115,000		Q - What other
		lost in the severe flood even	of 2018.		Engineer	\$5,000	After Cost Share:		uses? What's
					Permits	\$2,000	\$115,000		the history of the
щаз					Site prep	\$2,000			bridge that was
#12					Materials				lost? Does the
					Abutments	\$6,000			trail dead end?
					Pilings/Piers	6. 6			Need better
					Approaches	\$5,000			maps.
		Other funds received, re		d?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,000			
-		Public or Private: private		401 001	Labor	\$5,000			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 40		12' x 80'	Equip Rental				
-	Exception?	Water Body: Coon Cre			Other	\$9,000	0.44 0.00		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$115,000		

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
WC	La Crosse	La Crosse Co is prop	posing to rais	e the existing bridge	over Dutch	*Structure		\$16,000		Q - Is this
		Creek for better clear		• •		Engineer		After Cost Share:		upstream or
		install riprap to protect				Permits		\$16,000		downstream
	\neg	bridge. Storm dama				Site prep	\$1,800			from highway
#13		l diago. Ctomi dama	agoa laot oui.	o <u>option i</u>		Materials				bridge? Why 2
#13						Abutments				feet higher? Has
						Pilings/Piers	\$7,000			an engineer
						Approaches	\$3,000			confirmed 2 feet
		Other funds receive	ived. reque		d?	Culverts				higher will be
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,400			enough?
		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	LC01	Labor				
	Variance or			New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$1,000			
	Exception?	4	0	Labor Source:		Other	\$800			
14/2		,		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$16,000		
WC	La Crosse	La Crosse County pro	•	•	•	*Structure	\$36,000	\$53,300		Q - See above
		Creek. It was rehable	bed in 2015,	the club paid for this	. This would be	Engineer	\$2,000	After Cost Share:		
#14		Option 2 to replace t	the bridge an	nd re-home the old or	ne.	Permits	\$1,500	\$53,300		
#14			· ·			Site prep	\$2,000			
I						Materials				
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers	A =			
						Approaches	\$5,000			
		Other funds receive			<u>d?</u>	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	<u>No</u>	Amount:	1.001	Riprap				
		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	LC01	Labor	\$6,000			
	Variance or			New Bridge Size:	12' X 50'	Equip Rental	# 000			
	Exception?	4	Bangor Creek	Labor Source:	05.000	Other	\$800	#50.000		
WC	La Crosse	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0 0 0 0 0	\$53,300 \$98.000		0
WC	La Crosse	La Crosse County pro				*Structure	\$54,000	+ /		Comment - Pictures don't
		Creek. The bridge ha	ias deteriorat	ed and safety is a co	ncern.	Engineer Permits	\$3,000	After Cost Share:		show sufficient
#15							\$2,000	\$98,000		deterioration
						Site prep Materials	\$1,000			deterioration
						Abutments	\$12,000			
						Pilings/Piers	\$12,000			
						Approaches	\$5,000			
		Other formal a many '	usal nassure	otod ou committe	10	Approaches Culverts	φο,υυυ			
		Other funds receiv			a /	-	\$2,000			
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	No private	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	LC07	Riprap Labor	\$2,000 \$5,000			
-	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	private 10' x 56'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 64'	Labor Equip Rental	\$5,000 \$5,000			
			leming Creek	Labor Source:	12 X 04	Other	\$5,000 \$9,000			
-	Exception?	Years in System:	20	Design Weight Load:	25 000	# of New Mi	\$9,000	\$98,000		
		rears in System.	20	pesign weight road:	25,000	# Of New IVII	U	\$90,000		

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
WC	Vernon	Vernon Co propos	ses to replace t	he Anderson/Knapp	bridge that was	*Structure	\$31,000	\$41,600		Q - Better
				I flood. The bridge is		Engineer	, ,	After Cost Share:		Maps?
#16		salvageable.				Permits		\$41,600		
11 10		oa.ragoas.o.				Site prep				
	_					Materials				
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds red	ceived, reque	sted. or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	VN33	Labor	\$8,200			
•	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 36'	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$1,500			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Knapp Valley	Labor Source:		Other	\$900			
		Years in System:	29	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$41,600		
WC	Vernon	Vernon Co propos	ses to replace t	he Nottestad Bridge	that was	*Structure	\$54,000	\$82,000		Q - Pix required.
		displaced by the A	August 27, 2018	3 flood. The bridge is	s now not wide	Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:		More detailed
#17		enough for the ne	w channel crea	ited.		Permits		\$82,000		quotes.
#1 <i>/</i>						Site prep	\$1,000			
						Materials				
						Abutments	\$12,000			
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds red	ceived. reque	sted. or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,000			
		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	VN35	Labor	\$5,000			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 40'	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body:	West Fork	Labor Source:		Other	\$5,000			
		Years in System:	29	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$82,000		
SCR	Dane	Dane County prop	oses to replac	e the Little Sugar Riv	er bridge on	*Structure	\$56,000	\$69,200		Q - Need 2nd
		corridor 21 which	was damaged	due to 2 recent majo	r flooding events	Engineer		After Cost Share:		quote, trail map.
#18		which widened the	e river significa	ntly. Bridge was was	shed off it's	Permits		\$69,200		Is the trail
				osts based on single		Site prep	\$10,000			shared with
				3 ·	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Materials				bicycles?
						Abutments				A - County
						Pilings/Piers				contacted
						Approaches				multiple
		Other funds red	ceived. reaue	ested, or committe	ed?	Culverts				contractors for a
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				second quote
		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	16	Labor				but none were
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	11x37	New Bridge Size:	12x54	Equip Rental	\$2,000			submitted.
	Exception?	Water Body:	Little Sugar	Labor Source:		Other	\$1,200			
	•	Years in System:	20	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$69,200		

Region	County			Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
SCR	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace the Dah	lk Mt. Vernon Creek bridge	*Structure	\$76,000	\$91,200		Q - Need second
		on corridor 21 which was damaged due to	2 recent major flooding	Engineer		After Cost Share:		quote, trail map.
1		events which are washing out footings that	t cannot be repaired due to	Permits		\$91,200		A - County
#19		age and construction. Replacement of thi	is bridge will improved	Site prep	\$11,000			contacted
		waterflow preventing likely future bridge da	amage. Costs based on	Materials				multiple
		single quote only.		Abutments				contractors for a
		0 1 3		Pilings/Piers				second quote
				Approaches				but none were
		Other funds received, requested, or		Culverts				submitted.
			9 9 11 11	Riprap				
-		Public or Private: Private Bridge Inv		Labor	00.000			
		Old Bridge Size: 12.5x24 New Bridge		Equip Rental	\$3,000			
-	Exception?	Water Body: Mt. Vernon Labor Soi		Other	\$1,200	#04.000		
SCR	Dane		/eight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi *Structure	\$41,400	\$91,200 \$51,600		Q - Need second
SCR	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace the Stre			\$41,400	After Cost Share:		
		bridge on corridor 21 which was damaged		Engineer				quote, trail map. A - County
#20		flooding event which compromised the stre		Permits	\$6,000	\$51,600		contacted
		structure and footings have deteriorated to	•	Site prep Materials	\$6,000			multiple
		longer supports snowmobile use. The rep		Abutments				contractors for a
		higher and longer, thus preventing likely fu	0 0	Pilings/Piers				second quote
		flooding. Costs based on single quote on	ly.	Approaches				but none were
		Other founds received required or	itt-d2	Culverts				submitted.
		Other funds received, requested, or Yes or No:	committed?	Riprap				Gubiliitted.
		Public or Private: Private Bridge Inv		Labor				
-	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12.5x25 New Bridge		Equip Rental	\$2,000			
	Exception?	Water Body: Streiff Spring Labor So		Other	\$2,200			
-	LACOPTION:	, ,	/eight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$51,600		
SCR	Columbia	Columbia County proposes to replace the			\$49,000	\$77,000		Q - Need trail
		Rocky Run Creek on trail 15		Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:		map. What is
		Troons Train Grook on train to		Permits	4 = 7 = 7 =	\$77,000		the actual bridge
#21				Site prep	\$1,000	, , , , , ,		length being
				Materials	. ,			replaced? Was
				Abutments	\$12,000			RTP applied for?
				Pilings/Piers				Why is it being
				Approaches				replaced, can it
		Other funds received, requested, or	committed?	Culverts				stand another
		Yes or No: No Am	ount:	Riprap	\$2,000			season and
		Public or Private: Public Bridge Inv		Labor	\$5,000			apply for RTP
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12x34 New Bridge	ge Size: 12x60	Equip Rental				next year?
	Exception?	Water Body: Rocky Run Labor So		Other	\$5,000			
			eight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$77,000		<u> </u>

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NOR-R	Taylor	Taylor County pro	poses to replac	e the decking and r	ailings on the	*Structure		\$13,062		
		Pleasant Creek E	Bridge on the P	ine Line Trail. This	multi-use bridge	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#22		is open to snowm	obile, winter AT	V and summer siler	nt sports. The	Permits		\$3,266		
		bridge last receive	ed grant funding	g in 1989. Taylor Co	ounty is	Site prep	#7.040			
				gram funding (50%),		Materials Abutments	\$7,812			
		for grant assistant	ce from ATV Gr	ant Program as wel	l.	Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds red	ceived reque	sted. or committ	ad?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	TBD	Amount:	\$9,796.50	Riprap				
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	PL 1	Labor	\$5,250			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	42' x 10.8'	New Bridge Size:	42' x 11'	Equip Rental	40,200			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Pleasant Creek	_	Contractor	Other				
		Years in System:	30	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$3,266		
NOR-R	Taylor		•	ce the decking and r	•	*Structure		\$25,813		
<u> </u>		Ballpark Creek B	Fridge on the Pi	ine Line Trail. This i	multi-use bridge	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#23		is open to snowm	obile, winter AT	V and summer siler	nt sports. The	Permits Site prep		\$6,453		
π23		bridge last receive	Ige last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County is tatively approved for RTP program funding (50%), and is asking				045 400			
	_	tentatively approv	ed for RTP prog	gram funding (50%),	, and is asking	Materials	\$15,438			
		for grant assistant	ce from ATV Gr	ant Program as well	l.	Abutments Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds red	caived reque	sted. or committ	ad?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	TBD	Amount:	\$19,359.75	-				
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	PL 2	Labor	\$10,375			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	83' x 10.8'	New Bridge Size:	83' x 11'	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body:	Ballpark Creek		Contractor	Other				
		Years in System:	30	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$6,453		
NOR-R	Taylor		•	e the decking and r	•	*Structure		\$30,167		
<u> </u>				_ine Trail. This mult		Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#24				and summer silent s		Permits		\$7,542		
				g in 1989. Taylor Co		Site prep Materials	\$18,042			
				gram funding (50%),		Abutments	φ10,042			
		Ifor drant assistance from ATV (Frant Program as Well		Pilings/Piers						
						Approaches				
		Other funds red	ceived, reque	sted. or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	TBD	Amount:	\$22.625.25	Riprap				
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	PL 3	Labor	\$12,125			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	97' x 10.8'	New Bridge Size:	97' x 11'	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body:	Bear Creek	Labor Source:	Contractor	Other				
		Years in System:	30	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$7,542		

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County pro	poses to replace	ce a failing timber cro	ossing over	*Structure	\$103,060	\$103,060		Q - Is there
			•	ructure was built by the	•	Engineer		After Cost Share:		additional
#25				nt funding since. The		Permits		\$58,060		installation cost
#25				ds (max \$45,000 per		Site prep				not
		tomatively approv		αο (παλ φ ιο,σσο ροι	cap, ao trom	Materials				documented?
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
			<u>ceived. reaue</u>	ested. or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	\$45.000					
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	39-12-05	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	24' x 12'	New Bridge Size:	28' x 12'	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body:	Furbush Creek		Contractor	Other				
		Years in System:	30+	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$58,060		
NOR-R	Langlade			e an failing wooden brid		*Structure	\$19,000	\$38,285		
				g bridge is believed to be		Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:		
#26				e are in need of replace		Gravel	\$660	\$9,571		
				vell. Langlade County i		Disposal	\$2,000			
				is asking for grant assis	stance from ATV	Site Prep	\$1,465			
		Grant Program as w	vell.			Abutments	\$5,000			
						Piling/Piers				
						Approaches				
			<u>ceived. reauc</u>	ested. or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	TBD	Amount:	\$28.713.75		\$2,000			
-		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	Langlade-11	Labor	\$5,100			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	16' x 12'	New Bridge Size:	20' x 12'	Equip Rental	# 00			
-	Exception?	Water Body:	unnamed	Labor Source:	Contractor	Signs	\$60	#0.574		
NOR-R	lua-a	Years in System:	20+	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	U	\$9,571 \$9,156		
NOR-R	Iron		•	the decking and railing	•	*Structure		After Cost Share:		
				ver on Trail 6 in the		Engineer Gravel				
#27				in 1995 with grant fur		Materials	\$5,389	\$4,578		
				nty is asking for gran	nt assistance	Site Prep	\$ 5,369			
		from ATV Grant P	Program as well			Abutments				
						Piling/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds re	asivad rasiva	oted or committee	A10	Culverts				
			ceivea. reaus TBD	ested, or committe	\$4.577.82					
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	Public	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	I 34.5//.82 Iron #4	Labor	\$2,520			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	95' x 12'	New Bridge Size:	11011#4	Equip Rental	\$2,520 \$1,247			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Potato River	Labor Source:	County	Signs	ψ1,247			
	Exception?	Years in System:	20+	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$4,578		
II J		rears in System.	∠U+	pesign weight Load.	20,000	# OF INCW IVII	U	Φ4,376		II .

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
NOR-R	Price			an existing bridge o		*Structure		\$33,000		Q - Recommend
				bbed by the club in 2		Engineer		After Cost Share:		going for 25k.
#28		proposed new br	idge will be 28.5'	x 12.8' and include	two 17' ramps to	Gravel		\$33,000		Need trail map.
#20		eliminate the nee	ed to place fill.			Materials	\$16,000			
	_					Site Prep Abutments				
						Piling/Piers	\$3,000			
						Approaches	\$500			
		Other funds re	ceived reque	sted. or committe	nd?	Culverts	φοσσ			
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:	1	Riprap				
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	Price #12	Labor	\$10,000			
Γ		Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$3,500			
L	Exception?	Water Body:	Deer Creek	Labor Source:	Contractor	Signs				
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$33,000		
SER	Sheboygan	, , ,	, , ,	eplace the center su	pport, decking	*Structure		\$1,600		
		and railings on B	ridge 42			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#29						Permits		\$1,600		
5						Site prep	\$100			
						Materials	\$1,300			
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds re	ceived, reque	sted, or committe	ed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:	42	Labor	\$200			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	8x12	Equip Rental				
		Water Body:	Ben Nutt Creek	Labor Source:	club	Other				
	•	Years in System:	13	Design Weight Load:	12,000	# of New Mi	0	\$1,600		
		•					TOTAL	\$1,132,641	\$0	\$0

Region	County	Des	scription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved
#30	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to rehab Trail 11 in the There are two stretches of highway right-of-way and the side slopes on the highway right-of way a snowmobilers have rolled their sleds trying to railing on the two segments for a total of 400 on the uphill side of the railings will allow for significant to the stretch that the side of the railings will allow for significant to the side of the railings will allow for side of the side of the railings will allow for side of the side of	ay that the trail utilizes to avoic are too steep to enable groomi navigate. The project would in linear feet on the downhill side	d Rainbow Creek. ng and several nvolve installing e. Fill and grading	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$4,500 \$6,985		
	Variance or Exception?	Other funds received. requested. or converse or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System:	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	ntract	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$3,500 0	\$14,985	
					*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches		\$0 After Cost Share: \$0	
	Variance or Exception?	Other funds received, requested, or converse to the second	mmitted? Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:		Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	0	\$0	

TOTAL \$14,985 \$0

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

	2019-2	20 SNOWMOE	BILE MA	NDATORY T	RAIL RE	ELOCATI	ON RE	EQUESTS		LUA Length Reason	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-grants	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete App	тотац
Region	County		Desc	ription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	0 1	_				5 10		-10	-10	
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County propos				*Structure		\$2,730 After Cost Share:		1	7 (10	10	2	3 1	0			52
#31		Sayner due to loss o land using existing lo widening/easing corr	ogging roads ners. No net	Scope of work inclugain/loss of miles.	udes	Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches		\$2,730											
		Other funds recei			<u>d?</u>	Culverts													i
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	No	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:		Riprap Labor	\$650												i
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$2,080												i l
	Exception?			Labor Source:		Other	, ,												i
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$2,730											
NOR	Rusk	Rusk County propos				*Structure		\$4,050			5 5	10	10	2	2 1	0 0	0	0	44
		request of the lando		-	•	Engineer Permits		After Cost Share: \$4,050											ı l
#32		move it away from his same property. The in an increase of .1 r	re-route will	include a 3'x25' culv	ert and result	Site prep Materials Abutments Pillings/Piers Approaches	\$1,400	V .,•••											
		Other funds recei			<u>d?</u>	Culverts	\$2,650												i
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap													i l
	Variance or	Public or Private: Old Bridge Size:		Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:		Labor Equip Rental													
		Water Body:		Labor Source:		Other													
	Exception:	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$4,050											i
WC	Pierce	Co Rd Y Reroute - D	ue to a lando		I efforts	*Structure		\$19,633			5 0	10	10	0	2	7			34
#33		exhausted, Pierce C bridge that has exist bridge, reroute trail r crosses the Rush Ri	o is required ed for over 3 north to utilize ver. Two app	to reroute a trail and 0 years. Proposal is and existing highwap proaches would be n	I remove a to remove ay bridge that eeded.	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$5,020	After Cost Share: \$19,633											
		Other funds receives or No:	ived. reaue No	sted, or committe Amount:	ed?	Culverts Riprap													
		Public or Private:	. 10	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$5,483												
		Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$2,450												
	Exception?	Water Body:		Labor Source:		Other	\$6,680												
				Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$19,633				1							

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

	2019-2	20 SNOWMOBILE MA	NDATORY TRAIL RE	ELOCATI	ON RE	EQUESTS		Reason	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	County Pts. Snowfall	Deduct: Multi-grants	Ded: RR Xing	TOTAL Ded: Incomplete App
Region	County	Desc	ription	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	0 1	7 5	10	10		5 10	* -10	-10	-10
WC	Pierce	Co Rd O Reroute - Due to a land required to re-route Corridor Trail	22. The trail serves the SW	*Structure Engineer Permits		\$9,045 After Cost Share: \$9.045			5	0 10	10	0	2	3		30
#34		portion of the county. The re-rou recently constructed bridge. A sr grading and aggregate per lando	nall section of trail will require wner request.	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$1,300 \$6,315	, ,,										
		Other funds received, reque	sted. or committed?	Culverts												
	Variance or	Yes or No: No Public or Private: Old Bridge Size:	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$1,430											
		Water Body:	Labor Source:	Other												
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$9,045										
NER	Oconto	Oconto County proposes to reloc East of Suring. The County is pu include extra miles.		Brushing Signs Permits	\$4,800 \$1,200				5	0 5	5 5	0	2 10	D		2
#35				Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches												
		Other funds received, reque	Amount:	Culverts Riprap												
		Public or Private: Private	Bridge Inventory #:	Labor												
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	Equip Rental												
	Exception?	Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	Other # of New Mi	2	\$6,675										
ļ		roaro in Oystom.	Doorgin Worgin Load.	" OI INOW IVII	TOTAL	\$42,133	\$0					I			<u> </u>	

Ded: Incomplete App Deduct: Multi-grants Ded: RR Xing 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS Other Funds County Pts LUA Length Miles Los Reason Snowfa l Type 5 5 Approved 10 10 5 10* Region County Description Component Costs Total 15 10 -10 SCR 5 10 10 Sauk \$13,000 In 2018, the Merrimac Snowbusters completed phase 1 of a reroute through the *Structure Engineer After Cost Share: previous Badger Army Ammo Plant. Phase 2 is scheduled to be completed in 2019. \$13,000 Permits This phase eliminates 4 landowners & a fence safety concern. Funding is needed #3 Site prep \$13,000 for major brushing & other tasks. This trail is a major connector from the north of Materials Sauk County to the southern trails. Exact miles of the reroute are unknown at this Abutments time but are estimated to be about the same as the existing trail, if not less. Pilings/Piers Approaches Other funds received, requested, or committed? Culverts Yes or No: No Riprap Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: **Public** Labor Variance Old Bridge Size: Equip Rental New Bridge Size: Exception Water Body: Labor Source: Other Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi \$13,000 NOR *Structure \$2,200 Rusk Rusk County proposes to re-route Trail 12 due to a wetland area 5 10 10 After Cost Share: Engineer that does not always freeze down. The project will involve some Permits \$2,200 dozing and brushing with 5 miles of trail and will add 2.3 miles of #3 Site prep \$2,200 new trail. Trail 12 re-route. Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? Riprap Yes or No: Nο Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor Variance Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Equip Rental Exception Water Body: Labor Source: Other # of New Mi Years in System: Design Weight Load: \$2,200 \$3,125 NOR *Structure Douglas Douglas County proposes to re-route a short section of trail under a After Cost Share Engineer WIDOT bridge to remove an at grade crossing. The project will \$1,563 Permits involve the removal of soil under the bridge to provide enough #3 Site prep \$2.615 overhead clearance and adding a gravel base. This project is Materials \$510 proposed to be split with ATV, 50% Trail 2 Re-Route Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? **1562** Riprap Yes or No: Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor Variance Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Equip Rental Exception Water Body: Labor Source: Other Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi \$1,563

Ded: Incomplete App Deduct: Multi-grants Ded: RR Xing 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS Other Funds County Pts LUA Length Trail Type Miles Los Reason Snowfa TOTAL Safety 5 5 5 10* 15 10 10 Region County Description Component Costs Total Approved 10 -10 Chippewa Chippewa Co is proposing to relocate the Budweiser Snowmobile *Structure \$9,670 After Cost Share: Trail. They will replace 1.0 mile of historic route with 1.8 miles of Engineer Permits \$9,670 trail using existing field roads, woods roads and field crossings. Site prep #3 This will increase safety, enjoyment and length of the trail. Materials \$5,170 **Abutments** Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Riprap Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor \$2,000 New Bridge Size: Variance Old Bridge Size: Equip Rental \$2,500 Exception Water Body: Labor Source: Other Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi \$9,670 WC *Structure \$4,165 0 Q - Is this Dunn Dunn Co is proposing a discretionary reroute with 2/bridges. This After Cost Share flood plain? Engineer reroute is due to a safety concern at the intersection of Hwy 40 and What was/is Permits \$4,165 Co Rd M and will remove .33 miles of travel within 40' of the road. Site prep compromise \$3,500 The existing trail is 0.45 miles, with 0.12 miles road route. with zoning? Materials Proposed trail is 0.61 miles with no road route. See letters of Abutments #4 approval from DOT, Dunn County and the Colfax School District. Pilings/Piers Total project cost est. \$123,165.00 Approaches Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? Riprap Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor Variance Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Equip Rental Exception Water Body: Labor Source: Other \$665 Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi \$4,165 \$78,100 *Structure \$78,100 Dunn, Bridge #1 After Cost Share cont'd Engineer Permits \$78,100 Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? Riprap Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Labor

\$78,100

Equip Rental

of New Mi

Other

12' x 60'

25,000

New Bridge Size:

Design Weight Load:

Labor Source:

18 Mile Crk Trib

Variance Old Bridge Size:

Years in System:

Exception Water Body:

2	2019-2	0 SNOWMO	OBILE DISC	RETIONARY	' TRAIL	RELOC	ATION	REQUES		Reason	LUA Length	Trail Type Safety	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	Deduct: Multi-grants County Pts.	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete App.	TOTAL	Q&A
Region	County		Descrip	tion		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	5	15	5 10	10	10	5 ′	10* -1	0 -10	-10		
	cont'd	Other funds rec Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size:	No Public	ed. or committed? Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	12' x 45'	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$40,900	\$40,900 After Cost Share: \$40,900											0	
		Water Body:	18 Mile Crk Trib	Labor Source:	05.000	Other "	0	#40.000												1
#4	Iron	unnamed outlet of near Springstead current creek cros make this road sh bridge will be plac	ses to construct a f Stone Lake in the I, in order to reloca sing on the highw oulder crossing ha ed on DOT right-o		of Way if the ghtlines snowmobile	# of New Mi *Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$12,816 \$250 \$143	\$40,900 \$20,918 After Cost Share: \$20,918			17	5 (5 0	2	5	10 (0 0	0	44	
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	eived, requeste No Public	ed, or committed? Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	35' x 12'	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$4,680 \$3,029													
	Exception	Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System:	Unnamed	Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	Force Acco	Other # of New Mi	53,029 0 TOTAL	\$20,918 \$170,516												

ncomplete Easemen Location Funding LT Cos 2019-20 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS Costs 5 17 10 20 25 -10 Region County Component Total Approved 10 10 72 0 17 10 NOR-R Price *Structure \$30,000 10 20 Price County proposes to have a built-on-site 22' x 12.8' bridge After Cost Share: constructed over an unnamed stream locally known as Genny Engineer Permits \$30,000 Creek, on the Corridor 16 trail connecting Catawba and Prentice. #42 Site prep Ice crossings have been used to date but a bridge would increase Materials \$13,000 safetv. Abutments Pilings/Piers \$3,000 Approaches \$500 Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Riprap Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: \$10,000 Public Labor Variance or Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: 22' x 12.8' Equip Rental \$3,500 Exception? Water Body: Labor Source: Contractor Unnamed Other Years in System: Design Weight 14,000 # of New Mi \$30,000 NOR 0 55 Q - ROW Rusk County proposes to install a new 71"x47"x26' arched culvert \$6,400 0 17 8 5 15 0 Rusk *Structure Engineer After Cost Share: Permit in the road ditch where the groomer is having trouble crossing. Needed? Permits \$6,400 The ditch sometimes carries water that backs up and causes #43 Site prep flooding problems. HWY D culvert. Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts \$3,800 Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Nο Amount: Riprap Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor \$2,200 New Bridge Size: Variance or Old Bridge Size: Equip Rental Water Body: Labor Source: Other \$400 Exception? Years in System: Design Weight # of New Mi \$6,400 WC Trempealea Trempealeau Co proposes to replace a highway bridge crossing *Structure \$35,000 \$57,000 0 15 10 0 10 45 Q - One \$3,000 After Cost Share: with a new bridge 12' x 40' over an unnamed stream. Due to Engineer estimate for 40' and one Permits \$57,000 increased traffic and the location of the trail crossing, it is felt a #44 Site prep \$5,000 for 50', why? bridge would improve safety for snowmobiles and motorists. Materials WMS not Abutments \$7.000 contacted? Pilings/Piers Will there still Approaches be an ice crossing on Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? this trail \$2,000 Yes or No: No Amount: Riprap elsewhere? Public or Private: \$5,000 private Bridge Inventory #: Labor Variance or Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: 12' x 40' Equip Rental Water Body: Labor Source: Exception? unnamed storm Other # of New Mi Years in System: Design Weight 25,000 \$57,000

		2019	-20 SNOW	MOBILE N	EW BRID	GE REQ	UESTS	3		Permits	Location	Easement	Туре	Impact	Active LT Cost	Incomplete	County	Total	Q & A
Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	5	10 !	5 17	7 10	20	25 -1	0 -10	10	72	
NOR				a new 12'x100' brid		*Structure	\$159,000			0	5	0 5	8	5	5 (0	10		Q - No
#45			re a wetland deli	azardous ice cross neation and H&H a	•	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$5,000	After Cost Share: \$168,000											contact with WMS? What kind of abutments are proposed?
		Other funds re	e <u>ceived. reaue</u>	sted. or commi	tt <u>ed?</u>	Culverts													
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap													
		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:	40-400	Labor													
		Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System:	S Fork Main Cr	New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight	12x100 25,000	Equip Rental delineation # of New Mi	\$4,000 0	\$168,000											
				•	TOTAL	\$261,400	\$0												

		20	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N		Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts		<u>-</u>	nt aroomed 0-2	<u>,</u>	a/Trail needs	0 N	aduct if man to loke /river o	2. C 3. (4.	stions and cobe marked colum H indical Column I in Columns Jatings and I	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat cates if the requ was su dicates if the ce statement was through R are the rankings with point u R should be er	ns needs ion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification s signed. ne actual int value.
PROJ #	REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3				-	7 7		ОТ	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
NM-1	NOR-R		Wolf River State Trail	14.4		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	3	3			_) (15	\$0	\$4,320	
NM-2			Trail 217	5.9	,	n	n	У	У	2	3	3	3	2	2		-		15		\$1,770	
NM-3			Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	0.78	,	n	n	У	У	3	3	3			2) (15		\$234	
NM-5		,	Trail 18	3.44		n	n	У	У	3	2	3	3	2	1		•		14		\$1,032	
NM-6	NOR-R		Trail 86 aka North Loop		Υ			Υ	Υ	3	3	2	3	2	1	_	_		14	\$0	\$900	
NM-7			Trail 3	1.6	,	n	n	У	у	3	3	3	3	2	0	_	-	_	14		\$480	
NM-10	NOR-R		Taylor to Price Connector	11.9	_			Υ	Υ	3	3	2	3	2	0	_	_		13	\$0	\$3,570	
NM-12		,	Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	5.69	,	n	У	У	у	1	3	3	3	2	1		_		13		\$1,707	
NM-13	NOR-R		State Corridor 25	4.3			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	3	2	3	2		_	_		13	\$0	\$1,290	
NM-14	NOR-R		Trail 85		Υ			Υ	Υ	3	3	3			_) (13	\$0	\$900	
NM-15	NOR-R		G Trail	2			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	3	2	3	2		0	0		13	\$0	\$600	
NM-9			Fairchild Connector	12.2		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	3	3	1	3	1	2				13		\$3,660	
NM-11			Shortcut	6.2		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	2	2		2				12		\$1,860	
NM-16	NOR-R		Catawba to Taylor	21				Υ	Υ	0	3	3		_		0 () (12	\$0	\$6,300	
NM-17			Newcomb Valley Trail	15		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	1			2				12		\$4,500	
NM-18			Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	12	,	n	n	у	у	1	3	3	3	2		0	0		12		\$3,600	
NM-19			Trail 34	12		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	3	1	2		2				12		\$3,600	
NM-20			Trail 16		у	У	n	у	у	3	3	2	2	2		0	0		12	\$400	\$2,200	
NM-21			C2 Drifters Waupaca Trail	4.65	Х			У	n	2	3	1	_		2				12		\$1,395	
NM-22	NOR-R		River Trail	1.8		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	2	2			0		-		12	\$0	\$540	
NM-230	SCR		HC3W	1.77		Х		Χ	Χ	3) (12		\$531	
NM-226	SCR		Bareneveld Trail Eagles request	17.5	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	2	2) (12	\$0	\$5,250	
NM-23	NOR-R		Medford North Trail	1.7		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	2	2	3		0	0	0		12	\$0	\$510	
NM-25			Ranger City Corridor 1	23.25				У	У	2	2	2	2	2	2			_	12		\$6,975	
NM-24			Ithaca trail	13	Х			У	у		3					0 () (_	11	\$3,500	\$7,400	
NM-26			#1 Montello West	12.7		Х	Х	У	у	1	2			_	2			_	11		\$3,810	
NM-27			Trail 113	3.4		n	У	У	У	1	2	2	2	2	2		-		11	\$2,600	\$3,620	
NM-29	SCR		Preston to Fennimore	9		Х	Χ	У	У	2	2	1		_	2	0 () (11		\$2,700	
NM-30	WCR	Trempealeau	Boondocks	8.5	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	3	1	3	2					11		\$2,550	

		2	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3	0-3		Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	r 0-2	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	2. (3.	estions and of to be marked Colum H ind Column I in I. Columns J ratings and	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat icates if the requ was su dicates if the ce statement was I through R are the rankings with point u R should be er	ns needs tion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification s signed. he actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1		-	4 5	_	7	7		TOT	\$ DEV		FUNDED
NM-31	NOR-R		C Trail Central	8.4			Υ	Υ	Υ	1	2	2		2 1	•	•		11	\$0	\$2,520	
NM-32	SCR	Lafayette	Arthur-Belmont	7.75	Х	Х	Χ	у	У				3 2			0	0	11		\$2,325	
NM-33		Grant	Sandy Hook Trail	6.01	Х	Х		У	У		2		2 2	2	0	0	0	11		\$1,803	
NM-34	NOR	Ashland	Trail 13A	6	n	У	У	У	У	0	1	3	3 2	2 2	0	0	0	11		\$1,800	
NM-35	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail Alt 13	4		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	3	3	2 2	2 1	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$1,200	
NM-36	NOR-R	Taylor	C Trail South	3.7	Υ			Υ	Υ	1	3	2	3 2	2 (0	0	0	11	\$0	\$1,110	
NM-37	NOR-R	Taylor	A Trail	3.5	Υ			Υ	Υ	1	3	2	3 2	2 (0	0	0	11	\$0	\$1,050	
NM-38	NOR-R		P Trail	3			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	2	3 2	2 (0	0	0	11	\$0	\$900	
NM-39	NOR-R	Taylor	E Trail	2.9	Υ			Υ	Υ	1	3	2	3 2	2 (0	0	0	11	\$0	\$870	
NM-40	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 10D Eagle River	2		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	3	3	0 2	0				11	\$0	\$600	
NM-41	NOR-R	Lincoln	Hwy JJ Merrill Access	1.5		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	2	2 '	1 2	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$450	
NM-45	SCR	Grant	Ellenboro-Arthur	18	Х	Х	Х	У	у	0	3	1	3 2	2	0	0	0	11		\$5,400	
NM-42	NOR-R	Iron	Cramer Reroute Ext	2.3	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	2 2	2	-3	0	0	10	\$34,382	\$35,072	
NM-43	SCR	Dane	County Line #17	21.1	Х	Х	Х	У	у	2	3	1	3	1 (0	0	0	10		\$6,330	
NM-44	SCR	Dane	Waubesa Snobirds #29	19.2	Х	Х	Х	У	у	2	1	1	3 ′	1 2	0	0	0	10		\$5,760	
NM-46	SCR	Grant	Beetown to North Andover	14	Х	Х	Х	У	у	2	2	1	2 2	1	0	0	0	10		\$4,200	
NM-47	WCR	Chippewa	Lake Hallie Trail	13		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	2	2 2	2				10		\$3,900	
NM-48	SCR	Dane	Marshall/Utica/Nora/Rockdale #27	9.7	Х	Х	Х	у	у	2	2	1	3 2	2 (0	0	0	10		\$2,910	
NM-50	NER	Marquette	#2	6.7	Х		Х	У	У	1	3	1	3 2	2				10		\$2,010	
NM-51	NER	Waushara	Coloma #23A-Co Line	6.7	Х	Х	Х	у	у	2	3	1	1 2	1				10		\$2,010	
NM-52	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail I	6			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	2	3	2 2	2 1	0	-3	0	10	\$0	\$1,800	
NM-53	SCR	Jefferson	Waterloo Spur	5	Х	Х	Х	у	у	0	3	1	2 2	2	0	0	0	10		\$1,500	
NM-54	NER	Marquette	#3	4.5	Х		Х	у	у	1	3	1	3 2	2				10		\$1,350	
NM-55	SCR	Jefferson	Hwy 16 parallel	4.5	Х	Х	Х	У	У	0	3	1	2 2	2	0	0	0	10		\$1,350	
NM-56	SCR	Dane	White Lightning #18	3.9	Х	Х	Х	у	у	2	3	1	3	1 (0	0	0	10		\$1,170	
NM-57		Bayfield	Trail 19	3.8		n	У	У	У	3	2			2 (-3	0	0	10		\$1,140	
NM-58	WCR	Chippewa	Big C	3.8		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	2	2 2	2				10		\$1,140	
NM-59	NOR-R	Taylor	Q Trail	3.8		Υ		Υ	Υ	1	2	2	3 2	2 (0	0	0	10	\$0	\$1,140	
NM-60	SCR	Iowa	Arthur club request	2.9	Х	Х	Х	у	У	1	3	1	3 2	0	0	0	0	10		\$870	

		20	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	nt groomed 0-2	<u>,</u>	/Trail needs 3	oarallel trails 3	Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	2. · 3.	estions and control to be marked Colum H indicated Column I in the Columns Jatings and	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat icates if the requ was su adicates if the ce statement was I through R are the rankings with pour	ns needs tion to be eligible. iired map ubmitted. rtification s signed. he actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7		TOT	\$ DEV	·	FUNDED
NM-61		Dane	Yellow Thunder #61		Х	Х	Х	у	у	2	3	1	3	1	0	0	0		10		\$660	
NM-62			Golden Triange #73	2.1	Х	Х	Х	У	У	2	3	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	10		\$630	
NM-63	NOR-R		C Trail North	1.9		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	1	2	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	10	\$0	\$570	
NM-64			Marinette 2	1.846				У	у	1	3	2	1	2	1				10		\$554	
NM-65	NOR-R		Trail 106	1.5	Υ			Υ	Υ	3	3	3	2	2	0	0	-3	0	10	\$0	\$450	
NM-66		Marinette	Kumalong Riders Crivitz to Trail 18	1.45		Х	Х		у	2	2	2	2	2	0				10		\$435	
NM-67		Dodge	Throttle Jockey Waukesha Co Line	1.4	Х	Х	Х	у	У	1	3	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	10		\$420	
NM-8			Segment 1	17.11	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	3	1	3	2	2		-3		10		\$5,133	
NM-68		Dane	County Line #59/CP Riders #69	22	Х	Х	Х	у	У	2	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	9		\$6,600	
NM-69			East River Trail	20.26		Χ		Χ	Χ	0	2	1	2	2	2				9		\$6,078	
NM-70			Trail #41 - Cambira to Rio connect	15	Х	Х	Х	у	у	0	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	9		\$4,500	
NM-71		Monroe	Trail 28	10			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	1	2	2					9		\$3,000	
NM-72		Dodge	Segment #3	9.2	Х	Х	Х	у	у	1	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	9		\$2,760	
NM-73			Borth to Poysippi	8.5	Х	Х	Х	у	у	1	3	1	1	2	1				9		\$2,550	
NM-74			Beaver Township	8.13	,	У	у	у	у	1	1	2	2	1	2	0	0		9		\$2,439	
NM-75			Pine Creek Trail		Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ	2	3	1	3	2				-2	9		\$2,400	
NM-76			GM5 Grank Marsh Link	7.95			Χ	Χ	Χ	1	3	1	2	1	1				9		\$2,385	
NM-77	WCR		Finley Trail	7.19	Χ			Χ	Χ	1	3	1	2	2					9		\$2,157	
NM-78		Buffalo	Trail 95 Cty R to Cty Y		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	3	1	2	2	1				9		\$2,100	
NM-79	NOR-R		Trail 94	6.6		Υ		Υ	Υ	0	2	3	2		0	0	0	0	9	\$0	\$1,980	
NM-80			Trail 65	6		Χ	Χ	Х	Χ	2	2	1	2	2					9		\$1,800	
NM-81			New Trail #48 - conecting #43 to #		Х	Х	Х	У	У	0	2	1	2	2	2	0	0		9		\$1,800	
NM-82		Florence	Juction 17 to Junction 18	5.1			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	2	_	1	-3	_	0	9	\$0	\$1,530	
NM-83			#69 - Columbus connector trail		Х	Х	Х	У	У	0	3	1	2	2	1	0	0		9		\$1,500	
NM-84			Watertown By-Pass		n	Х	Х	У	у	0	2	1	2		2	-		0	9		\$1,500	
NM-85			Trail 6	4.8		У	n	У	У	0	1	3	3	2	0	0	0		9		\$1,440	
NM-86	SCR	,	Blanchardville	4.8	Х	Х	Х	У	у	0	3	1	3		0	0	0	0	9		\$1,440	
NM-87			Browns Lake South/Rail trail	4.7		Х	Х	У	У	3	2	1	0	2	1				9		\$1,410	
NM-88	SCR	Columbia	Connecting trail #61 - I-50 to Dane	3.69	Х	Χ	Χ	У	У	0	3	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	9		\$1,107	

		20	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	hed		0-3	1 -3		0-2 1	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	ა ი	s to lake/river 2	2.	to be marke Colum H ind Column I in Columns aratings and	ons E, F, & G are one of the column d for the application icates if the required was sundicates if the central statement was J through R are the rankings with point R should be entered to the statement was the should be entered to the statement was the statement w	ns needs ion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification s signed. he actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	_		6	_				\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
NM-89		Bayfield	Trail 22	3.38	n	n	У	у	у	3	1	3	3	2	0 -	3 () (\$1,014	
NM-90			I-2	3.1				у	у	2	1	1	2	2	1			9		\$930	
NM-91			Marshal #20	2.8		Х	Х	у	у	2	1	1	3	2	0	0 () (9		\$840	
NM-92		Adams	CP1 Coloma Link	2.64	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	1	3	1	2	1	1			9		\$792	
NM-93		Columbia	Connecting trail - Columbia #86 to	2.62	Х	Х	Х	У	у	0	3	1	2	2	1	-) (\$786	
NM-94	NOR-R	Taylor	Medford East Trail	2.5		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	1	1	2	3	2	0	0 () (9	\$0	\$750	
NM-95	SCR	Dane	Ashton #51	2.2	Х	Х	Х	у	у	2	1	1	3	2	0	0 () ()	\$660	
NM-96	NOR	Burnett	Trail 5	2	n	у	n	у	у	3	1	2	3	2	1 -	3 () (9)	\$600	
NM-97	NER	Brown	Connecting Trail	1.36	Х	Х	Х	у	у	0	2	1	2	2	2			9)	\$408	
NM-100		St Croix	Erin to Emerald Connect Cor 63&6	11	Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ		2	1	3	2				8	3	\$3,300	
NM-101		Waupaca	Trail 5	10.1	Х	Х	Х	у	у	0	3	1	1	1	2			8	3	\$3,030	
NM-102	SCR	Dodge	Segment #4	9.9	Х	Х	Х	у	у	1	2	1	2	2	0	0 () (8 (3	\$2,970	
NM-103	WCR	Portage	Corridor 15 & Corridor 13 Connect	9.3			Χ	Χ	Χ		1	1	2	2	2			8	3	\$2,790	
NM-104	SCR	Dodge	Segment #5	6.5	Х	Х	Х	у	у	1	3	1	2	1	0) (8 (3	\$1,950	
NM-105	SCR		Palmyra-Hebron	5.28			Х	у	у	0	2	1			1 () (0	8	3	\$1,584	
NM-106		Marinette	River Road Riders Int 204-Int 226	5.1	Х				у	1	1	2	2		0			8		\$1,530	
NM-107	NOR-R		Trail 118	5			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	2	2	0 (3 0	8		\$1,500	
NM-108	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail SF	4			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	2	2	0	0 -:				\$1,200	
NM-109		Dane	Viking Snow Drifters #75	3.8	Χ	Х	Х	у	у	0	3	1	3		-	0 () (\$1,140	
NM-110		Wood	Auburndale Loop	3.2			Χ	Χ	Χ		1	1	2		2			8		\$960	
NM-111	NOR-R		Trail 121	3.1			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	2	2	0 () -3	3 0	8	\$0	\$930	
NM-112	NER		Kiekhaefer Park- Peebles Shell	3		Х	Х	У	у	0	2	1	1	2	2			8		\$900	
NM-113			D-2-3(connects to Calumet Badge	2.5				У	у	2	3	1	2	2	1	-	3	8		\$750	
NM-114		Jackson	Casino Connector	2.2		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	3	1	1	2		2		3	8		\$660	
NM-115			Eau Pleine Connector	1.99				_	Χ	2	3	2	1	2			-2			\$597	
NM-116			Portage to Big Eau Pleine	1.8			Χ	Χ	Χ	3	3	1	2	1			-2			\$540	
NM-119		Vilas	Trail 17B Lac du Flambeau	1.4			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	-	_	0 () (0			\$420	
NM-120		Manitowoc	M-2	1.2	Х			у	у	2	1	1	2		0			8		\$360	
NM-121	NER	Brown	Access To Food/Gas/Park	0.83		Х	Х	y	у	2	1	1	2	2				8	3	\$249	

		2	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts		<u>-</u>	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	့် ပ	Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	2. (3.	estions and of to be marked Colum H indi Column I in I. Columns J ratings and	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat icates if the requ was su dicates if the cer statement was I through R are the rankings with point u R should be er	ns needs ion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification is signed. ne actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6 7	7	7	TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
NM-122	NER	Brown	Access to Village Park/Gas/Food	0.8		Х	Χ	У	n	2	1	1	2	2				8		\$240	
NM-123	NER	Manitowoc	G-2	0.5		Х		у	у	2	1	1	2	2	0			8		\$150	
NM-124	SCR	Lafayette	Fayette Access	0.5		Х	Х	у	У	0	2	1	3	2) (0	0	8		\$150	
NM-28	NER	Marinette	River Road Riders-Corridor 3	8.2	Х			У	y	3	2	2	2	2	0	-3		8		\$2,460	
NM-98	WCR	Buffalo	Gilmanton to Waumandee	17		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	2	1	2	2	1			8		\$5,100	
NM-99	NER	Shawano	Tigerton to Carolina	13.6		Х		У	n	0	2	2	0	2	2			8		\$4,080	
NM-125	SCR	Grant	Lancaster to Beetown	15	Х	Х	Х	У	У	0	2	1	2	1	1 (0	0	7		\$4,500	
NM-126	WCR	Chippewa	Rough Rider	11.1			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	2	2	2		-3		7		\$3,330	
NM-127		Dodge	Segment #2	11	Х	Х	Х	У	У	1	2	1	2	1	0	0 0	0	7		\$3,300	
NM-128	NER	Marquette	#2A	8.4	Х		Х	У	y	1	3	1	3	2		-3		7		\$2,520	
NM-129		St Croix	250th Trail (9A)	8	Χ	Χ	Χ		Χ		1	1	3	2				7		\$2,400	
NM-231	SCR	Sauk	HC4N + H6	7.89			Х	Х	Х	0	1	1	3	2) (0	0	7		\$2,367	
NM-130	NOR	Burnett	Trail 80	7.7	У	n	n	У	у	0	3	2	3	1	1 -	3 0	0	7		\$2,310	
NM-131	WCR	Marathon	Stratford Connector	6.38		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2	2	-3		7		\$1,914	
NM-132	NOR	Polk	West Sweden	6.34	У	У	у	у	у	1	1	2	2	1	0	0 0	0	7		\$1,902	
NM-133	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 12 Conover	5.6		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	3	0	1 :	2 () -3	0	7	\$0	\$1,680	
NM-134	WCR	Chippewa	Buckhorn	5.3	Χ		Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	2	2	2		-3		7		\$1,590	
NM-135	NER	Shawano	Outagamie Trail	4.6	Х			у	n	0	3			2				7		\$1,380	
NM-136	NOR-R	Lincoln	Old J	4.6			Υ	Υ	Υ	3	1	2	2	2	0 () -3	0	7	\$0	\$1,380	
NM-137		St Croix	Cty Rd C (4A)	3.5		Χ	Χ		Χ		1	1		2				7		\$1,050	
NM-138		Chippewa	High Rider	3.2		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	2	2	2		-3		7		\$960	
NM-139		Oconto	Chute, Unfunded 1	2.774			Х	у	У	1	1	2	1	2				7		\$832	
NM-140	NER	Calumet	Badger Creek Trail (connects to M	2.4	Х				у	2	3	1	1	2	1	-3		7		\$720	
NM-141	NOR-R	Price	Trail 88	2.4			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	3	2	2) (0	-2	7	\$0	\$720	
NM-142		Marathon	Wittenberg Connector	2.26				Χ	Χ	2	3	2	1	2		-3		7		\$678	
NM-143	NOR-R		Vascheau	1.9			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1				0 0	0	0	7	\$0	\$570	
NM-144	NER	Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 3	1.638		Х		у	у	1		2	_	2				7		\$491	
NM-145	NER	Oconto	Chase, Unfunded 1	0.728		Х		у	у	1	1	2	1	2				7		\$218	
NM-146	WCR	Marathon	Wiouwash	0.68			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	3	2	1	2		-3		7		\$204	

		2	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail seament aroomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	3 pts	Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	2. C 3.	stions and cooperations and cooperations. Column H indi Column I in Columns J ratings and i	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat icates if the requ was su dicates if the cer statement was I through R are the rankings with point u R should be er	ns needs tion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification s signed. he actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6 7	7	7	тот	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
NM-147		Shawano	Wildlife Trail	0.52	Х			У	n	0	3	2		2				7		\$156	
NM-148		Oconto	Chase, Unfunded 2	0.361			Х	У	У	1	1	2	1	2				7		\$108	
NM-49	NER	Calumet	Fond du Lac Co line to Chilton	9	Х				У	2	3	1	1	2	1	-3		7		\$2,700	
NM-149	NER	Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 1	8.73		Х		У	У	1	2	2	1	2	1	-3		6		\$2,619	
NM-150	NOR-R	Lincoln	Somo	8.5		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	3	2	2	1	0	0 (0	-2	6	\$0	\$2,550	
NM-151	WCR	Juneau	Necedah to Germantown	8.32		Χ		Χ	Χ	0	1	1	2	2				6		\$2,496	
NM-152	NOR-R	Lincoln	McCord	7.7			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	2	2	1	0	0 (0	6	\$0	\$2,310	
NM-232	SCR	Sauk	H3	6.97		Х	Х	Х	Х	0	1	1	3	2	2 () -3	0	6		\$2,091	
NM-153	WCR	Adams	R-4,R-5,R-6 Rome Area Access	6.92		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ		1	1	2	2				6		\$2,076	
NM-154	SCR	Columbia	Connecting trail - Keyser #71 to Da	6	Х	Х	Х	у	у	0	3	1	2	2	1	0 -3	0	6		\$1,800	
NM-155	NER	Waupaca	Trail 10	5.7	Х	Х	Х	У	у	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$1,710	
NM-156	WCR	Pierce	Plum City North	5.7	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	1	2	1	2	2	1	-3	5	6		\$1,710	
NM-157	NER	Shawano	Docs Trail	5.6		Х		У	n	0	2	2	0	2				6		\$1,680	
NM-158	SER	Sheboygan	7TSF2,PSR Club-2	5.59	Х	Х	Х	у	у	3	1	1	1	2	1	-3		6		\$1,677	
NM-159	WCR	Pierce	E Prescott Trail	5.3	Χ		Χ	Χ		1	2	1	2	2	1	-3	5	6		\$1,590	
NM-160	NOR	Ashland	Trail 9A (Special note)	5	n	n	у	у	у	0	1	3	3	2	0 -	3 0	0	6		\$1,500	
NM-161	WCR	Chippewa	Weiser Trail	4.5			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	1	2	2	2		-3		6		\$1,350	
NM-162	NER	Oconto	Red Arrow, Unfunded 1	4.465	Х			У	у	3	1	2	1	2		-3		6		\$1,340	
NM-163		Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 2	4.359			Х	У	у	3	1	2	1	2		-3		6		\$1,308	
NM-164		Monroe	Trail 60	4			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	2	1	2	2		-3	3	6		\$1,200	
NM-165		Buffalo	Mondovi North to Cty Line	3.9		Χ	Χ	Χ	Χ	0	1	1	2	2	0			6		\$1,170	
NM-166		Door	West Jacksonport Cross Trail	3.5			Х		n	0	1	1	1	1	2			6		\$1,050	
NM-167		Shawano	Mattoon to Langlade Co	3.5	Х			У	n	0	3	2	0	1				6		\$1,050	
NM-168		Sheboygan	RL Club -4	3.35		Х	Х	У	У	1	3	1	1	2	1	-3		6		\$1,005	
NM-169		, 0	NF Club-1	3.24				У	у	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$972	
NM-170		Racine	Snowhawks	3.05		Х	Х	У	у	0		1	0	2	1			6		\$915	
NM-171		Waupaca	Trail 1	3		Х	Х	У	у	0	3	1		1				6		\$900	
NM-172	NOR-R		Trail 1 Eagle River	3		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	3			0 0			6	\$0	\$900	
NM-174	Shwno?	Oconto	Gillett, Unfunded 3	1.95	Х			У	У	1	3	2	1	2		-3		6		\$585	

		20	019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts		Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts		Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	3.	estions and control to be marked Colum H indicated Column I in the Columns Jeratings and Items a	ns E, F, & G are one of the column d for the applicat cates if the requi was su dicates if the cer statement was through R are th rankings with poi u R should be en	ns needs ion to be eligible. ired map ubmitted. rtification s signed. ne actual int value.
PROJ#	REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4		6 7		7	TOT	\$ DEV	• -	FUNDED
NM-175		Oconto	Red Arrow, Unfunded 2	1.589			Χ	У	у	3	1	2	1	2		-3		6		\$477	
NM-176		Waupaca	Trail 7	1.5	Х		Х	У	у	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$450	
NM-178		Adams	GM6 Grand Marsh to Adams	1		Χ		Χ		1	1	1	2	1				6		\$300	
NM-117		Outagamie	Z1-1-NG	1.72	Х	Х	Х	У	у	0	3	1	1	2	1		-3	5		\$516	
NM-118	NER	Outagamie	Z6-1-NPR	1.41	Х	Х		У	у	0	3	1	1	2	1		-3	5		\$423	
NM-179	NER	Manitowoc	D2-2,D-2-1, B-2 Pigeon	11.5				у	у	2	1	1	2	2		-3		5		\$3,450	
NM-180	NER	Waupaca	Trail 6	10.5		Х	Х	у	у	0	2	1	1	1				5		\$3,150	
NM-181	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail 51C	8.4			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	3	2	1	0	0 -3	0	5	\$0	\$2,520	
NM-182	NER	Shawano	Red River Loop	8			Х	у	n	0	1	2	0	2				5		\$2,400	
NM-183	NER	Shawano	Tilleda Loop	6.8		Х		у	n	0	1	2	0	2				5		\$2,040	
NM-184	SER	Sheboygan	FC Club-1	6.25		Х	Х	у	у	0	1	1	1	2				5		\$1,875	
NM-185	WCR	Marathon	Hatley Connector Trail	5.72		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-3		5		\$1,716	
NM-186	WCR	Marathon	West Marathon City Connector	5.28		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-3		5		\$1,584	
NM-187	WCR	Marathon	Memories Connector	5.02		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-3		5		\$1,506	
NM-188	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail 8A	4.8			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	3	2	1	0	0 -3	0	5	\$0	\$1,440	
NM-189	SCR	Columbia	Otsego #70 to Casino (connecting	4.1	Х	Х	Х	у	у	0	1	1	2	2	1) -2	0	5		\$1,230	
NM-233	SCR	Sauk	W7	4.07	Х	Х		Х	Χ	0	3	1	3	1	0 0) -3	0	5		\$1,221	
NM-190	NER	Marquette	#4 Endeavor loop	3.9			Х	У	у	1	1	1	3	2		-3		5		\$1,170	
NM-191		Marinette	River Road Riders Int 315-Int 318	3.4		Х			у	1	1	2	2	2	0	-3		5		\$1,020	
NM-192		Marathon	North Marathon City Connector	3.05		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-3		5		\$915	
NM-193	WCR	Marathon	Kelley Connector	2.96			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-3		5		\$888	
NM-194	WCR	Marathon	South Mararthon City Connector	2.86		Χ		Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-3		5		\$858	
NM-195	SER		AY Club-1	2.6		Х	Х	У	у	3	1	1	1	2		-3		5		\$780	
NM-196	NER	Kewaunee	KU-SLN	2.4			Х		у	0	1	1	0	2	1			5		\$720	
NM-197	SER	Waukesha	Trial 16 & Trail 8 connector	2.1		Х	Х	У	У	0	1	1	3	1	2	-3		5		\$630	
NM-198		Oconto	Gillett, Unfunded 2	2.021		Х		У	у	1	2	2	1	2		-3		5		\$606	
NM-199		Shawano	Mountain Bay to Gresham	2		Х		У		0	1	2		2				5		\$600	
NM-200	NER	Shawano	Shortcut Trail	1.9			Х	У	n	0	1		0	2				5		\$570	
NM-201	WCR	Marathon	Pine Lane Trail	1.35			Χ	Χ	Χ	2	1	2	1	2		-3		5		\$405	

			019-20 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3	pts	1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	0-2 pts	sıd	3 pts	Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	2. 3. 3. 5. (estions an to be mar Colum H i Column I 4. Column ratings ar Column P	ndio ndio indio s J nd r thru	cates if the requir was subdicates if the cert statement was through R are the rankings with poin a R should be ent	s needs on to be eligible. red map omitted. ification signed. e actual at value. rered as
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3		5 6	7	_	7	TOT	¥ = = -			FUNDED
NM-202		Marathon	Poniatowski Connector	1.29			Χ		Χ	2	1	2		2		-3		5			\$387	
NM-203		Marathon	Lions Park Connector	1.06		Χ		_	Χ	2	1	2		2		-3		5			\$318	
NM-204			Gillett, Unfunded 1	1		Х		У	У			2	_	2		-3		5			\$300	
NM-205			Trail 3	2.6		Х	Х	У	У		1	1		1				4	4 ,	00	\$170,780	
NM-206			L-ELL	8.1			Х		У	0	1	1	•	1	•			4			\$2,430	
NM-207	NOR-R		Old Grade	3.2			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	_		_	2 0	C	-3	0	4	(\$0	\$960	
NM-208		Waupaca	Trail 9	2.5		Χ	Х	У	У	0	1	1		1				4			\$750	
NM-209		Racine	Saller Woods	2.4	Χ			У	У	3	1	1		2		-3		4			\$720	
NM-210		Oconto	Paul Bunyan, Unfunded 1	2.321			Х	У	У	1		2		2		-3		4			\$696	
NM-211			Paul Bunyan, Unfunded 2	0.86			Х	У	У			_		2		-3		4			\$258	
NM-212	NOR-R		Beacon	0.7			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	_	_		2 0	C	-3	0	4	Ç	\$0	\$210	
NM-213		Waupaca	Trail 8	0.6		Χ	Χ	У	У	0	1		-	1				4			\$180	
NM-173		Marinette	River Road Riders-Int 330-Int 314	2.7		Χ			У	1	1	2)	-3					\$810	
NM-214			Trail 22	5.3	n	n	У	,	У	0	2	2	_	_) - :						\$1,590	
NM-215			St Peter South Trail	4.91		Χ	Х	J	У	0	2	1		2		-3		3			\$1,473	
NM-216			Trail 2	4.7		Х	Х	У	У	_	3	1	1	1	-3			3			\$1,410	
NM-217		, ,	HG Club-2	3.7			Х	У	У	2	1	1		1		-3		3			\$1,110	
NM-218			Trail 4	2.1	Χ		Х	У	_		-	1	•	1		-3		3			\$630	
NM-219	NOR-R		Schult	2.1			Υ	Υ	Υ		_	-			C	-3	0	3		\$0	\$630	
NM-220			DU-LL	1.3			Х	-	У	0	0	1		_)			3		L	\$390	
NM-221			Tri-Co #26-#30A	8.5				-	У	_				2		-3		2		L	\$2,550	
NM-222			Z5-6-PB & Z5-7-GK	3.15		Х	Х	у	У	_	1			2 0		-3		2		L	\$945	
NM-223			Z5-4-DT	2.69		Х	Х	У	У	_	_			2		-3		2		Ĺ	\$807	
NM-224			Z5-3-DT	2.38			Х	у	•		•			2		-3		2		Ĺ	\$714	
NM-225	NOR-R		Alexander	2.2			Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	2	2	1 0	C	-3	-2	2		\$0	\$660	
NM-227	WCR	Wood	Wilhorn Trail	4.8																Ĺ	\$1,440	
				1,254.64											<u> </u>	1			\$210,8	82	\$587,275	\$0